September 27, 2022 banka भारतीर के में किया है। किया के किया कुछ सिम्बर कि किया कि किया कि किया कि किया है।

RE: Ms. Hungerford's September 24, 2022 Missive

TO: Bob Sconce and FDAB, ODE, SHSD Board Members, Oregon DOJ Liaisons, OHA, Kate Brown, FDAB, Tina Tressel and her Council

yahif paganga kut 1980 gelapat pela beli ja daleh kataluan enterpetan Adaluan bi tilim senigentani hari harrett sa

Control and the file of the land of the control of

Ms. Hungerford, I am in receipt of your missive as of the evening of September 25, 2022. I am shocked by what I read. You seemingly consider yourself to be the final authority on what is and isn't relevant in this case.

Ms. Hungerford, your letter states,

the property of the property o "Although objections to exhibits must be made at the time the exhibit is offered, at the beginning of the hearing, I am offering my objections now in the hope that this may allow us to proceed immediately with opening statements and witness testimony on Sept. 30, 2022" and "... authority to exclude evidence that is irrelevant, immaterial, or unduly repetitious."

How did you come to these conclusions Ms. Hungerford? Did you examine all "750" pages of exhibits from Mrs. Tressel? Or is this simply your opinion? And why aren't you following the Board's own protocol for the sham hearing?

Ms. Hungerford, you also stated.

"...evidence offered appears to be for the intended purpose of proving that COVID-19 vaccination is dangerous, ineffective or unnecessary, and/or that required provisions for curbing the COVID-19 are ineffective or unnecessary...'

You don't find information of this nature relevant Ms. Hungerford? Aren't you and the other bureaucrats interested in "the science"? More data comes in daily showing what a disaster your COVID-19 management has been. Kate Brown, OHA, ODE and most Oregon school districts were 100% wrong regarding their handling of COVID-19, but in typical totalitarian fashion, they forced people under duress of losing their livelihood, ability to go to school, see loved ones, participate in sports, etc., to take toxic experimental shots, including genetic mRNA shots. Kate Brown went as far as to bribe the populace to take these experimental shots with money and gifts. There was a plethora of available information regarding COVID and mRNA shots at the time to have made the correct decisions, but this

information was completely ignored by Oregon bureaucrats. I have now spoon fed all of you enough scientific information, with supporting documents, to dispel all COVID myths you and the others involved in Tina Tressel's case might falsely harbor.

Oregon's bureaucrat's follies are being exposed and they don't want this COVID information allowed at the sham hearing. Tina Tressel was right on all counts regarding her decision making and because of this, her Christian beliefs, and that she didn't follow the bureaucrat's illegal "orders", you all have been relentlessly attacking her. Tina Tressel, as a free Oregon national of the land, has no lawful obligation to delineate why she refuses the shot or to follow your color of law edicts. Is this tactic being used to discriminate against and then weed out those the establishment dislikes?

You and the bureaucracy accuse Tina Tressel of being "insubordinate" or "neglectful of her duty" yet she was correct in her decisions to refuse the COVID shots and to not wear a mask. Tina Tressel didn't get lucky making her decisions, she made **informed choices.** All the poorly thought-out remedies for the COVID "pandemic" formulated by Oregon bureaucrats were <u>dead wrong</u>.

Ms. Hungerford, <u>your</u> definition of "insubordination" is based on de facto cases all decided decades prior to the COVID-19 "pandemic". These cases of "insubordination" had nothing to do with being **forced to take an experimental shot [the developer of which stated these mRNA shots should never be given to human subjects] or lose your job. Your twisting of the truth is unacceptable, but expected.**

Regarding ODE's "Neglect of Duty" charge, it seems the SHSD, ODE, SH School Board, OHA, and Kate Brown were the negligent ones. Not one of them did their due diligence regarding COVID-19, the result being immense physical and financial harm to Oregonians, including death. **Or maybe Kate Brown and the OHA benefitted financially from their decisions?** These two have colluded together for personal profit in the past. <u>CorruptOregon.weebly.com</u>

Ms. Hungerford, you stated Tina Tressel didn't "comply" with the bureaucracy's "orders". First – It's her body and her choice. Second, what the bureaucrats proposed was **tyrannical**, nothing less.

Ms. Hungerford, you speak of Oregon's elected and unelected bureaucrats' "legal" authority to compel school districts to enforce arbitrary rules based on fiction and fear instead of scientific facts. You speak as though every Oregon school district followed these bureaucratic edicts. You know that didn't happen, yet you included it in a de facto "legal" document anyways. Alsea School District in Benton County did not enforce these bureaucratic color of law mandates under Superintendent Marc Thielman's leadership. No one had to wear masks, take experimental shots, shut down classes, shut down sports, etc. and they all graduated healthier and wiser than their Oregon peers who were adversely affected by Oregon bureaucrat's simple-minded, and possibly self-enriching, decisions. Other school districts and Oregon counties also disregarded these injurious, despotic, governmental decisions.

Ms. Hungerford, you bring up arbitrary rules put in place by Kate Brown and the OHA, all of whom who were convicted in 2021 by the Civilian Court of record, the one supreme Court claiming original jurisdiction as stated in Article III, Amendment VII, whose verdict has no appeal in law, for dereliction of their duties and for dishonoring their oath. [Lawful documents available upon request.] The ODE and the SHSD blindly enforce these injurious arbitrary rules.

The OHA is run by crooked and horribly inept, unelected bureaucrats. Kate Brown, along with numerous other bureaucrats, are well aware of this. The OHA has in the past, and very likely still is, involved in **selling medical records** of HIV patients, foster kids, prisoners, state psychiatric patients, foreign student's health records, etc., and likely your own medical and counseling records. The OHA has been involved in slander and defamation — case in point is Lynne Saxton. This is only the tip of the proverbial iceberg. One more time - see <u>CorruptOregon.weebly.com</u>

I understand why the SHSD, SHSB, ODE, OHA, DOJ and Kate Brown would object to certain testimony and evidence being submitted at the sham hearing – it would expose how wrong they were regarding COVID-19, their absolute lack of critical thinking and the real possibility of criminal behavior.

Tina Tressel's sham hearing is straight out of the George Orwell book "1984". Tina Tessel was never a safety hazard to her students as you should well know by now if you studied the science you have been spoon fed. A hearing is supposed to have a neutral de facto Administrative Law Judge present at the least. Instead, you

have board members with a personal vested interest in this case who will be making judicial decisions they are far from qualified to make, let alone their ability to run a hearing other than a sham hearing.

Here is a headline from yesterday:

"Happening Today: Idaho Victims of Pandemic Policy & Law Conference"

Lawsuits are already occurring and many more are forthcoming, and conferences as above will metastasize into more lawsuits, probably class actions. It is quite clear who the defendants will be in these cases. Again, I refer you to the North Carolina Dental Board of Examiners v FTC 2015 decision and the letter from the U.S. DOJ Antitrust Division which I have sent as attachments in a previous email. They clearly tell you where Oregon "board members" stand regarding any immunity they assume they are protected by. Again, these are not boards, but are trade associations per the SCOTUS and many other legal minds. Trade association (board) members will be held personally and financially responsible for their unconstitutional decisions and lack of any due process.

None of the Oregon bureaucrats involved in Tina Tressel's case seem capable of admitting that they were wrong from the beginning. Could their and your negative treatment of Tina Tressel be a reaction of fear and anger to having put experimental COVID shots, particularly the mRNA genetic shots, into yourselves, your children, staff, kid's, and the public's bodies?

Lastly, I strongly encourage you Ms. Hungerford, along with the rest of the elected and unelected bureaucrats involved herein, to study the words **EQUITY** and **EQUITABLE**. Oregon [a supposedly "progressive" state] and its subdivisions are light years away from being an equitable state in its current criminal configuration.

er i ko graniki darketa kilotopa

Respectfully,

Eric Dover, MD