

Christian Wolff, MA

Psychologist Associate, Inactive (Oregon)
111 W. Berry St., Suite 211
Fort Wayne, Indiana 46802
christian@christianwolff.com

September 17, 2018

Re: Response to Charles Hill's 9-11-18 Letter to Christian Wolff

To:

Charles Hill
Executive Director
Mental Health Regulatory Agency (MHRA)
Oregon Board of Psychology (OBOP)
Oregon Board of Licensed Professional Counselors and Therapists
3218 Pringle Rd. SE, Suite 130
Salem, OR 97302
503-373-1155
charles.j.hill@oregon.gov

And to be read by:

LaReé Felton, MHRA, OBOP, OBLPCT, Policy Advisor / Licensing Manager
Clifford Johanssen, PHD, OBOP Board Chair
Warren G. Foote, SAAG, MHRA, OBOP, OBLPCT Legal Counsel
OBOP Generally

Ipse dixit, Charles. I owe OBOP nothing. Nor do I owe anything to the State of Oregon. There is nothing by which OBOP may legally take or demand money from me.

As I have stated many times before, I do not recognize OBOP's authority or jurisdiction to try me, to sanction me, or to fine me. This is primarily due to the fact that OBOP violates the civil rights of those they try, fine, and/or sanction. Therefore, taking money from me, threatening to take money from me, or demanding money from me in exchange for favor or waiver from harm is illegal. It is extortion.

I have read Kate Brown's Executive Order No. 17-09. *Ipse dixit* again, Charles. There is no Governor's Executive Order which can legally support the illegal "collection" of monies from a U.S. citizen, illegal *attempts* to "collect" money, or the illegal demand of such money in exchange for favor or waiver from harm.

Regarding ORS 293.231, I offer no opinion. I might look at it more closely if I actually owed a debt to OBOP or to the State of Oregon. But since I owe nothing to OBOP or to the State of Oregon, it is immaterial.

You may wish to read ORS 293.231(1) and with special attention, read ORS 293.231(4) through (7). Especially subsections (7) and (4). Especially subsection (4).

ORS 293.231(1) Except as provided in subsections (4) to (7) of this section, a state agency, unless otherwise prohibited by law, shall offer for assignment every liquidated and delinquent account to the Department of Revenue as provided in ORS 293.250 (Collections Unit) not later than:

(a) Ninety days from the date the account was liquidated if no payment has been received on the account within the 90-day period; or

(b) Ninety days from the date of receipt of the most recent payment on the account.

(4) The provisions of subsection (1) of this section do not apply to a liquidated and delinquent account that is prohibited by state or federal law or regulation from assignment or collection.

I know you all took an oath of office when you were hired into your position. It is likely it went something along the lines of:

"I, _____, do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support the Constitution of the United States, the constitution and the laws of the state of Oregon and I will faithfully and impartially discharge the responsibilities of the office to the best of my ability."

I don't expect you to know this, Charles, but despite the fact that ORS 293.231, in the subsections cited above, does not explicitly mention the U.S. Constitution, the constitutions of both the U.S. and of Oregon are ever-present. They protect you and me 24/7 and require no special invocation.

Article VI of the Constitution of the United States contains that which has been firmly established as the Supremacy Clause. The Supremacy Clause reads:

This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby, any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding.

This means that ALL other laws in our nation and in its states are subordinate to the U.S. Constitution. There are 7 Articles to the U.S., Constitution and 27 Amendments. The first 10 Amendments, collectively, are known as The (U.S.) Bill of Rights. The Oregon Board of Psychology, by the very nature of the behavior which makes it what it

is, is a festering pustule of civil rights violations. Along with other victims of OBOP's abuses, OBOP has denied me due process, equal protection under the law, the benefits inherent in a separation of powers, protection against ex post facto rules of governance, & wrongful seizure of my property. All this, under the fraudulent colour of law.

According to Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 - Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law, & Title 18, U.S.C., Section 241 - Conspiracy Against Rights, in the least, this makes you and the other oath-violators, criminals.

Let us look again at the Supremacy Clause, though I am certain we could find it in many other places. Supreme is the written Law - not a fickle human person. This means, Charles, that you and I are subordinate to the Constitution, too. OPOP may allege that I have violated some law or rule or ethic and that is OBOP's right. But it is not OBOP's right to convict me and fine me and sanction me if they cannot *show* that I am guilty via a lawful process. This is what protects each of us from *ipse dixit!*

Records Request: I want copies of the signed oaths of office for every OBOP board member and every OBOP staff member including Foote's. This is an official records request.

Let's take a look at the possibility that OBOP is a racket - as in racketeering. We'll look at it on a large scale - the part that Clifford is involved in, and then we'll look at it on a small scale - the part that you are involved in, and then we'll look at it on a medium scale, the part that Foote is involved in. With you, Charles, it seems you would directly benefit from using the colour of law to bilk me out of \$10,000 because, getting no funding from the state, you must somehow get enough money from licensing fees and disciplinary fines to pay your salary. As representatives of OBOP, you and Lareé have already testified before the legislature that OBOP wasn't getting enough money from fines to support OBOP. You and LaReé (and the Governor, if I recall correctly) presented the Oregon House Committee on Healthcare with HB 2329 in 2017 which, if passed would have allowed OBOP to bilk OBOP-abused licensees out of the costs of their own abuse! That is, you and LaReé asked the legislature to allow OBOP to assess the costs of rigged proceedings to the licensees who were already victims of OBOP's arbitrary and capricious, egregious faux-legal practices.

I cannot tell you the joy I derived from being a part of shutting such ambitions down. I submitted written testimony and again, rallied the troops to oppose you. The Oregon Psychological Association testified against your bill, and even the Oregon State Bar's Administrative Law Division testified against the bill. You and Lareé were the only ones to testify in favor of your self-serving bill. If you go to the Oregon Legislative Information System (OLIS), you and LaReé can even see yourselves on archived video. You and Lareé were almost crying. You and LaReé stated that a number of other boards were allowed to screw their licensees over by assessing them proceedings costs and that it was only fair, therefore, that OBOP be allowed to do so as well. You also cried that OBOP was having to pay more for the services of your lawyer-muscle, Warren Foote.

Has OBOP ever thought about downsizing in order to save money - I mean *voluntarily* downsizing in order to make its size proportional to the actual, objective need for it?

Has it ever occurred to you that the reason you have to pay Warren Foote so much is that you are spending huge amounts of money chasing down people like me who have not actually done anything wrong? If OBOP would stop trying so hard to make money in a *criminal* manner, they may be able to *save* money in an honest way.

Records Request: If there has ever been an instance of OBOP losing a contested case, I would like to see it. Please send me the records for any contested case OBOP has ever lost.

Anyway, Charles, enough of all this for now. It is such a chore providing you and your organized criminals with all this remedial education.

If you heed the things I've already written here though, it may save you a lot in the long run. Therefore, I am placing the value of this lesson to you at \$20,000. Please remit immediately. Let me know if you would like to pay by credit card and I will arrange for you to be able to do that. Please be aware though, Charles, this is a real bill. It is not in lieu of any other monies owed me, and it is not guaranteed to save you money. Payment of the bill will not have any affect on the issues I have with OBOP and it will not alter in any manner, the way in which I address the issues. I will continue to address the problems of OBOP whether or not the bill is paid. It is not the \$20,000 which may save you and/or OBOP but the advice I have given you. OBOP should, in fact, focus on a business strategy based on saving money though, rather than the current plan which appears to be one of "extort and spend."

I noticed that the email you sent me September 11, 2018 - the one to which I now respond was sent only to me. You seem to have sent it to every email address OBOP have ever had for me and to no one else. Are you Bcc'ing, or are you flying solo as LaRee seemed to be doing when she sent me the August 28, 2018 email entitled "Notice of Past Due Civil Penalty, OBOP #2012-073?" Are you having a contest over there at OBOP? Whoever extorts the most money gets to go to the next APA convention? Maybe it is a quota. That 2017 Executive Order by Kate Brown (17-09) may be putting a lot of pressure on you all to "produce." I mean even her "salary" needs funded. I have been reading about her kayak trips, car washes, "unpaid" lobbyists, and "redacted calendars."

As you know, I have been trying to keep the several of you informed. It looks as if I am going to have attach your correspondence to my replies so that Felton, Johannsen, Foote, and the general OBOP office will know what I'm referencing when I write. Charles, you didn't even Cc these others in the *attachment* to your email? Why is this?

From now on: Cc at least the persons and entity noted above when you write me. It will only make things unnecessarily confusing if later on, these others say,

“Oh, we didn't know Charles was doing this,” or “Oh, we didn't know LaReé was doing this.”

Regarding the solo letter LaReé Felton sent me on August 28, 2018 (as you wrote) please note my response to LaReé which I have already Cc'd you. The email she sent was dated August 28, 2018, but the primary content of the email was a letter-attachment dated August 23, 2018. She sat on the letter for 5 days before she emailed it. A minor point perhaps, but dates on materials can be important. This matter is not incongruent with the year-long problem you and LaReé seemed to have with getting my mailing address posted correctly to the OBOP website. I have no idea how many fellow licensees may have tried to contact me over the past year. One thing is for certain. If they were relying on my postal address as you had it posted on the OBOP website, their attempts to contact me would have failed.

Regarding my postal address change: The letter you sent me to which I now respond was ridiculous. Getting my address change right, after I sent it to LaReé was and is not “all that.” It was a *simple* matter, and I see that you have now, since you wrote the said September 11, 2018, letter, corrected it. No further complications, and I didn't even fill out the “form” you insisted was necessary. Geesh.

In non-exhaustive sum:

- 1) I owe nothing to OBOP.
- 2) I owe nothing to the State of Oregon
- 3) I retain my “Special Appearance” status & NOTHING I have written, stated, or implied shall be regarded as an attempt by me to appeal to the OPOP's for a Final Order more favorable to me. I do not recognize OBOP or OAH's right to try me or to impose sanctions or fines against me. I do not seek OBOP's *noblesse oblige*.
- 4) OBOP is illegally trying to extort money from me
- 5) Charles owes me \$20,000 for my advice
- 6) Christian Wolff wants OBOP to send him copies of the signed Oaths of Office for all OBOP board members and staff (including Warren Foote's)
- 7) Christian Wolff wants copies of all the contested cases OBOP (or OBPE) ever lost in an ALJ facilitated process. That is, Christian Wolff wants copies of all contested case which OBOP has ever lost *prior* to an appeal of the Final Order.
- 8) Any correspondence to Christian Wolff by OBOP or its agents or officers should include copies, in the least, to all of the following:

Charles Hill, MHRA, OBOP, OBLPCT Executive Director
LaReé Felton, MHRA, OBOP, OBLPCT, Policy Advisor / Licensing Manager
Clifford Johanssen, PhD, OBOP Board Chair
Warren G. Foote, SAAG, MHRA, OBOP, OBLPCT Legal Counsel
OBOP Generally

Sincerely,

Response to Charles Hill's 9-11-18 Letter to Christian Wolff

Christian Wolff

Cc:

LaReé Felton, MHRA, OBOP, OBLPCT, Policy Advisor / Licensing Manager

Clifford Johanssen, PHD, OBOP Board Chair

Warren G. Foote, SAAG, MHRA, OBOP, OBLPCT Legal Counsel

OBOP Generally

9/17/18