## Study: VAIDS Triggered In Children Following Pfizer COVID Jab

Adan Salazar September 1, 2023

Children aged 5-11 tested after Pfizer jab developed <u>vaccine acquired immune deficit</u>, or VAIDS, leaving them susceptible to viruses, bacteria and other pathogens.

Control (1986) de la companya de la

Kanagolikuli dakekt kalakiser belakisa kelakisa mingacere kendis

Children vaccinated with Pfizer's COVID-19 vaccine developed weakened immune systems and diminished immune responses for months after the jab, a recent Australian study revealed. In the study conducted by Australian researchers published last week in the peer-reviewed journal Frontiers, titled, "BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccination in children alters cytokine responses to heterologous pathogens and Toll-like receptor agonists," scientists tested the cytokine responses of 29 children aged 5-11 before their first dose of Pfizer BioNTech's vaccine and 28 days after their second dose.

The scientists concluded "vaccination in children *alters* cytokine responses to heterologous stimulants, particularly one month after vaccination," however, they did not explain the implications of their findings, namely that all of the children essentially **developed vaccine acquired immune deficit**, or VAIDS, leaving them **susceptible to viruses**, **bacterias and other pathogens**.

According to the study, the decrease in immune response was noticeable in data after 28 days, and decreased immune response to viruses lasted for at least six months.


Consider to the five second secon Antiques second The transfer of a present second Antiques second s

es and mais à consideration. L'arrest d'annuelles est annuelle des la filles de l'ambient année de l'arrest de la comme de Les des les les les les années de la fille de la configuration de la fille de l'arrest de l'arrest de l'arrest

Caratie (1000-1017) dan site Mota Labaria distribution di distribution di secologica (1000-1019). Site secologica (1000-1019) distribution di secologica (1000-1019) de la caratie (1000-1019) de la caratie (1 Nota (1000-1019) de l'alta di secologica (1000-1019) de l'alta (1000-1019) de la caratie (1000-1019) de l'alta

## 

idas destribus presentar de la compania de la comp Compania de la compa



Researcher Igor Chudov noted the study's findings are a milestone for the mainstream scientific community, in that they are finally, albeit indirectly, admitting what independent physicians and scientists have been reporting for a long time.

THE CONTROL AND NOTE HIS TO

storic in list to do to disjoil

Finally, we have scientific confirmation that vaccination against COVID-19 causes a marked decrease in immunity to heterologous pathogens such as viruses, bacteria, and fungi. This decreased immunity to other pathogens (acquired immune deficit) is what people colloquially refer to as "VAIDS." (VAIDS stands for Vaccine-Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome)

A reduced immune response to common bacteria encountered daily can be highly concerning for children and may even have life-threatening consequences.

"Reduced responses to *Staphylococcus aureus* are very serious: this illness is difficult to treat and causes untold harm," the researcher noted, showing a picture of a child suffering a bacterial infection on his face. As Chuduv notes, it would have been nice to have this data before the Covid jabs were approved and disseminated to millions of people.

In the ideal world, careful scientists, cautious public health authorities, and principled medical doctors would investigate COVID vaccines' effects before vaccinating tens of millions of children and billions of adults. Had they investigated and done the basic science (such as the study above) before mandating and injecting COVID vaccines, such dangerous injections would never have been given to children and young adults!

Instead, in the mad rush to "vaccinate the world" with vaccines that do not even work, we ruined the immune responses of millions of children and likely all other vaccinated people.

Likewise, vaccine researcher Alex Berenson emphasized the "VERY URGENT" findings on his Substack, consulting immunologists who concurred the study's conclusions had profoundly disturbing implications, in addition to noting the cowardice of the study authors who downplayed its significance.

"My read on this paper is that it [mRNA vaccination] may in fact cause not just a short-term vulnerability to bacterial and viral infection in children, but it might cause a long-term immune deficiency," one physician emailed, adding that he worried public health authorities will simply ignore the paper.

"Just see how the authors sidestep their own findings," the physician wrote. "The authors won't even bluntly state that it appears that the mRNA shot caused a persistent immune deficiency in children. Their conclusion is it "alters" the cytokine response. This is the amount of courage in medicine."

The alarming findings come as President Joe Biden last week declared he was seeking congressional funding to develop a new Covid-19 vaccine which could then be recommended to everyone regardless of previous vaccine status.

and the first sector of the sector of the

fige a temporario conferencializados el calendar en esta perese copo formaciones en el como como a mente el co Antigo en militario esta el calendar esta en podeble de assorbil de se conferenciale en el como la oppora el com

ide etake magembre egyptet betig te belegte englig. Summellepische in des sig of pages-hijterheide etake etake i ogs

i anteriorismente de como entretorio altro describiramente indicamental de como entre income de como de como d Carro anterioria de como entretorio de anteriorismente de como de como de como de como entretorio de como espe

. Meta 1916 e grado se orientambre de 1960 a 19 Prese sempreta a 1960 a 19

uri an caus sex sixx sissions. Classes (unecurosia

## VERY URGENT: The mRNA Covid jabs damage immune responses to other viruses in children, a new study finds

Yet another reason giving mRNA shots to kids looks like an increasingly bad choice

ALEX BERENSON AUG 30, 2023

Kids who got Pfizer's mRNA Covid jabs had a weakened immune response to other viruses and bacteria, Australian researchers reported in a <u>study published</u> last week.

The diminished response appeared within weeks after the second Pfizer dose, the authors found. Blood taken from the children produced fewer crucial signaling molecules when stimulated with several common potential bacteria and viruses.

Over time, the immune response to bacteria returned to normal. But the diminished response to viruses lasted at least six months, for as long as the researchers collected data. "Our study showed that, in children, SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination decreases inflammatory cytokine responses," the authors wrote.

is the country was the first that the second and the second secon

Cytokines like interferon play a crucial role in the immune system, helping it attack viruses and other foreign invaders. (The first line of one widely cited <u>paper</u> on interferons explains they are "elicited on challenge to the host defense and are essential for mobilizing immune responses to pathogens.")

The study was small, including only 29 children aged 6-11 at the first post-vaccination checkpoint and eight children at the six-month sample period. Further, the study's short size and tiny number of participants meant the researchers could not correlate real-world clinical outcomes - such as increased severity of infections - with the diminished immune responses.

The peer-reviewed journal Frontiers in Immunology published the paper. In keeping with other papers that have reported disturbing findings about the mRNAs, the study's authors were careful to tiptoe around the potential impact of their data.

In their discussion, they wrote only that the findings show "the need for further research and consideration... given their broad public health implications."

But several physicians who reviewed the study independently for Unreported Truths said they found it very troubling.

"My read on this paper is that it [mRNA vaccination] may in fact cause not just a short-term vulnerability to bacterial and viral infection in children, but it might cause a long-term immune deficiency," one physician emailed, adding that he worried public health authorities will simply ignore the paper.

"Just see how the authors sidestep their own findings," the physician wrote. "The authors won't even bluntly state that it appears that the mRNA shot caused a persistent immune deficiency in children. Their conclusion is it "alters" the cytokine response. This is the amount of courage in medicine."

Alle and the first the letter of the control of the The control of the control of

## Breaking! 20 Million People Killed Globally By Covid Vaccines & 2.2 Billion Seriously Hurt

Infowars.com August 13, 2023

Alex Jones breaks down a video from Dr. Roger Hodkinson explaining how the Covid vaccine killed 20 million people globally.

BREAKING NEWS: 20 million global deaths directly attributed to the Covid Vaccines and 2.2 billion serious adverse reactions. These numbers are best estimates using government data. Anthony Fauci, Bill Gates, Ralph Baric, Tedros and others created a Pandemic to inject the world.... <u>pic.twitter.com/686mJeaVN7</u>
— Truth Justice TM (@SpartaJustice) March 25, 2023

https://banned.video/watch?id=64d7fffc3a4bee5842dde1e6

A CARPENE AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O

destructions of Abdustics and Articles (1994), and an interference of the Articles (1994). In Markey, Abstract of Markey (1994), and the Best of the Articles (1994), and the Articles (1994), and the Ar

ing mandag sili gersi bang danggan panggan banggan banggan panggan banggan banggan banggan banggan banggan ban

## All-Cause Mortality Up a Whopping 45% in 0-24 Age Group Since **COVID Vax Rollout, CDC Data Shows**

**共產黨的公司等的**實際或

Jamie White August 12th 2023

The CDC's own data reveals babies, toddlers, teenagers, and young adults up to 24 years old are dying at 45% above historical levels.

Babies, toddlers, teenagers, and young adults up to 24 years old are dying at 45% above historical levels since the COVID vaccines were introduced, data from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) shows.

Data compiled by the CDC reveals a sharp uptick of 44.8% in excess mortality in the 0-24 age range beginning in early 2021, when the experimental COVID mRNA shots began to be distributed to the 

Sharing this again since I can't figure out why this news isn't being covered by everyone in the U.S. right 

CDC Data Shows Excess Mortality for Ages 0-24 is at 44.8%

Babies, toddlers, teenagers, young adults—are dying 45% above the historical & projected levels. pic.twitter.com/Rni0PcGhvB

— TeXasLindsay™ (@TexasLindsay\_) <u>August 11, 2023</u>

When accounting for the fact that 39% of this young age group actually received the COVID injection, the figures extrapolate to 115% all-cause mortality among the vaccinated only, according to Twitter user Ethical Skeptic.

Great question GT. The vaccination uptake in this age bracket is  $\sim$ 39% (the overall uptake in the population is 70%). population is a conjugate to the conjuga

But as an absolute, if your conjecture is correct — this extrapolates to a 115% increase in natural cause death inside the vaccinated as a cohort. 

— Ethical Skeptic 🏶 (@EthicalSkeptic) August 10, 2023

The disturbing data corroborate claims by insurance actuaries, who since 2021 have been observing alarming upward trends of all-cause mortality among all age groups.

Top insurance research analyst Josh Stirling claimed in February to discover with CDC data a 7% aggregate mortality increase for each COVID vaccine dose received.

Top Insurance Analyst Finds a 7% Increase In Aggregate Mortality for Each C19 Dose Received

Josh Stirling: "The more doses ... you have in a [US] region ... the bigger increase in mortality ..."

"If you're over the age of 50, and you took all 5 doses, that'd be a 35% Increase." pic.twitter.com/udttPTQnRT

was for the property of the Real profession and the second profession of the Alexander Second of the

— The Vigilant Fox 😻 (@VigilantFox) <u>January 31, 2023</u>

In fact, some experts believe insurance companies are poised to sue vaccine manufacturers to cover payouts for "early unexpected mortality claims:" 

The country and the second of the second second to the second second second second second second second second

100

## All-Cause Mortality Up a Whopping 45% in 0-24 Age Group Since COVID Vax Rollout, CDC Data Shows

Jamie White August 12, 2023

Babies, toddlers, teenagers, and young adults up to 24 years old are dying at 45% above historical levels since the COVID vaccines were introduced, data from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) shows.

Data compiled by the CDC reveals a sharp uptick of 44.8% in excess mortality in the 0-24 age range beginning in early 2021, when the experimental COVID mRNA shots began to be distributed to the population.

When accounting for the fact that 39% of this young age group actually received the COVID injection, the figures extrapolate to 115% all-cause mortality among the vaccinated only, according to Twitter user Ethical Skeptic.

The disturbing data corroborate claims by **insurance actuaries**, who since 2021 have been **observing alarming upward trends** of all-cause mortality among all age groups.

Top insurance research analyst Josh Stirling claimed in February to discover with CDC data a <u>7%</u> <u>aggregate mortality increase</u> for each COVID vaccine dose received.

In fact, some experts believe insurance companies are poised to <u>sue vaccine</u> <u>manufacturers</u> to cover payouts for "early unexpected mortality claims."



## Miscarriages and Stillbirths Due to "Vaccines" Up 5,271% in 2021 Compared to 2020

J.D. Rucker June 29, 2023

"Pregnant women should get vaxxed," they said.

The Covid-19 "vaccines" have proven to be the most dangerous and falsely promoted government-backed drugs in the history of mankind. The death tolls continue to rise, and despite unambiguous evidence that they're neither safe nor effective, they're still being heavily promoted as such. Corporate media, academia, and most in the medical community are either too scared or too complicit to report the truth.

Even as it seems to many that medical tyranny is waning and Pandemic Panic Theater is in its final act, the need to share information about the jabs has increased. Complacent people are gullible people. We expect another round of... something... to be launched any time between now and Election Day, 2024. That's why data like this is so important to share:

Reports of Miscarriage / Stillbirth by Year Received https://t.co/sngpyyEQqmpic.twitter.com/FOZeb86MFc

— Robin Monotti (@robinmonotti) <u>June 28, 2023</u>

It doesn't take a mathematician to notice the sharp increase in stillbirths and miscarriages that took place in 2021. Over 5,100 have been reported since the Covid jabs were unleashed on the public. In 2020, there were 65, according to the CDC's own data.

Any doctor, politician, academic, or journalist who used their status of influence to compel pregnant women to get jabbed is complicit in deaths of these preborn children. And if 5,100 have been reported, that means between 51,000 and 510,000 have actually died needlessly.



## Report: Babies Breastfed by Mothers Who Took mRNA Vaccines Are Dying

OLIVIA COOK May 31, 2023

Babies being breastfed by mothers injected with mRNA shots against the Wuhan coronavirus (COVID-19) are dying. This warning came from Dr. William Makis, a Canadian physician with a triple specialization in radiology, oncology and immunology and the author of over 100 peer-reviewed medical articles. Makis provided two cases of babies who passed away due to being breastfed by mothers fully vaccinated against COVID-19. (Related: Nurse whistleblower: Fetal deaths have SKYROCKETED since pregnant women started being forced to get COVID-19 vaccines.)

One case involved a 36-year-old mother from New Mexico, who wrote about how her eight-week-old baby died on July 2021.

"He became very sick with a high fever on June 21, about two weeks after I got the first Pfizer vaccine," she wrote. "He was treated for two weeks with IV antibiotics for a supposed bacterial infection, however they never found any bacteria." "After the 14-day course of antibiotics, he was home for one week, but exhibited strange symptoms," she continued, noting how her child had a swollen eyelid, strange rashes and was regularly vomiting.

"I took him back to the hospital on July 15, where he presented with what they called an atypical Kawasaki disease. He passed away shortly thereafter from clots in his severely inflamed arteries," she concluded.

Makis presented another case, that of a five-month old baby who died on March 20, 2021, just three days after the infant's mother received her second dose of Pfizer's mRNA vaccine.

Just one day after the mother was fully vaccinated, the infant instantly developed a rash and "became inconsolable, refusing to eat and developed a fever," Makis wrote. "Baby was brought to ER, blood analysis revealed elevated liver enzymes, was hospitalized but continued to decline and died on March 20, 2021, with a diagnosis of TTP."

Thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP) is a disorder characterized by the sudden formation of blood clots in small blood vessels that can block the flow of blood to vital organs like the brain, kidneys and heart.

### Doctors have been warning against vaccinating pregnant and breastfeeding mothers since the beginning

Medical experts have been warning governments almost since the beginning of the rollout of COVID-19 vaccines that these jabs should be kept away from pregnant and breastfeeding women over serious concerns about their safety.

One such group – composed 66 doctors, scientists and other clinical practitioners – published its own open letter warning that the safety concerns about COVID-19 vaccinations in pregnancy are too dangerous to ignore.

The group, which includes obstetricians and gynecologists from the U.K., have warned against recent advice from medical organizations in the country about vaccinating pregnant women, claiming that it is in complete contradiction to everything that "it itself and academic institutions have been teaching about evidence-based medicine."

"This advice is that: COVID-19 vaccines are not only safe but strongly recommended for pregnant women," wrote the group in the letter. "Such advice is not grounded in robust data based on ethically conducted research – and anyone who is medically and academically trained should take serious issue with this."

The experts noted how concerned and "deeply disturbed" they are about how best scientific practices are being distorted just to bring a newly developed pharmaceutical product to market.

"We have a collective duty to restore the principles of medical ethics to our practice and to clinical research to protect the most vulnerable groups from harm, and this includes pregnant women and their babies," the group concluded.

Visit VaccineDamage.news for more stories about the side effects of the COVID-19 vaccine.

Watch this video showing how so-called experts repeatedly told the world that the COVID-19 vaccines were safe for pregnant and breastfeeding women.

This video is from the COVID Times channel on Brighteon.com.

#### More related stories:

- Pfizer data reveals COVID-19 vaccines were NEVER safe for pregnant women.
- TURBO CANCER: Children are DYING within hours or days of leukemia diagnosis, often after receiving vaccine jabs.
- The false messaging on vaccines given to pregnant women.
- Naomi Wolf: No more normal placentas since COVID-19 vaccine rollout.
- Dr. Bryan Ardis: Venom peptides produced from COVID-19 vaccines prevent embryos from attaching to the uterus, causing miscarriages.

## VAERS data clearly shows that the COVID vaccines are an unmitigated disaster for pregnant women

STEVE KIRSCH JUL 17, 2023

The CDC said the COVID vaccines were perfectly safe for pregnant women. They lied and they continue to lie to the American people. Here's the proof and you can verify it yourself.

Miscarriages and stillbirths are higher for the COVID vaccines than all other vaccines over the past 33 years *combined*.

The articles of large and a state of the large way to be the first of the confliction of the state of the sta

#### **Executive summary**

The Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) is the official US government database for reporting adverse events following vaccination. It is the "gold standard" for detecting safety signals. All Americans who have an adverse event following vaccination are encouraged by the US government to report it to VAERS.

The VAERS data clearly shows that the COVID vaccines are the most dangerous vaccines of all time. There are more adverse events reported for the COVID vaccines than for all other vaccines combined in the 33 year history of VAERS.

In this article, I will examine the number of stillbirths and miscarriage (aka spontaneous abortions) reports compared to all other US vaccines given over the past 33 years.

As far as I know, this has not been done before.

What I found should alarm everyone on the planet: the absolute number of stillbirths and miscarriages reports associated with the COVID vaccines is literally "off the charts": 4X higher than for all other vaccines combined. Because VAERS is around 100X under reported, meaning the COVID vaccines have likely caused an estimated 360,000 excess deaths.

But this isn't just coincidence and reporting bias. The fact that there is a second peak at 15-30 days is huge. That is impossible to explain away as not being causal. That is why nobody will fact check this article because they'd have to explain the second peak.

You don't have to believe me. Everyone, even the mainstream media, can verify this for themselves in less than 60 seconds. I'm going to show you how in this article.

## The results: the number of AE reports for miscarriage and stillbirths are OFF THE CHARTS for the COVID vaccine

The two searches below are for all vaccines over the 33 year reporting history of VAERS.

## Spontaneous abortion / miscarriage query

The first search was for spontaneous abortions reports for all vaccines.

There were 673 spontaneous abortion reports for the COVID vaccine with an onset date of Day 0, meaning the significant adverse events from the vaccine STARTED on Day 0. That number was from a Medalerts query, and covered worldwide reports of the 7/7/23 VAERS data. The same query on CDC Wonder gave 667 for Day 0.

Note that in some cases, the miscarriage may not be on the same day as the onset day. For example, a person could be completely knocked out on the day of the vaccine and be unable to move. The miscarriage may happen days later (sometimes at the next doctor appointment). The onset day is still 0, but additional adverse events (such as the miscarriage itself or knowledge of the miscarriage) may happen later.

For example, here's the first hit for COVID vaccine, day 0 onset. This was a self reported female who got the Pfizer shot on 12/30/20, had signs of a miscarriage just 4 hours later, and officially miscarried on 12/31/20.

I was 5.5 weeks pregnant when I revived the Pfizer Covid Vaccine. Everything was seemingly going fine with my pregnancy until about 4 hours after receiving the vaccine when I went to the bathroom and wiped and saw pink discharge on the toilet paper. I then began to have mild low abdominal cramping.

The abdominal cramping and vaginal bleeding steadily increased in severity over the next 24 hours until I eventually had an obvious miscarriage the evening of 12/31/2020. I cant help but think the vaccine in some way caused my body to reject the pregnancy. Along with the miscarriage, I also had extreme tiredness with GI upset 12/31/20 - 1/02/2021.

For this reason, it's important to spot check the underlying adverse event reports if you want to get the most precise date of onset for a PARTICULAR adverse event.

The next highest vaccine was the HPV vaccine with 84 reports with a Day 0 onset. **Every other vaccine in history was insignificant.** 

The key point is this: we are using the exact same criteria for different vaccines and the COVID vaccine is sticking out like a sore thumb.

In addition there was a huge second peak of 519 reports at 15-30 days onset. No other vaccine in history showed anything comparable to this.

VAERS may be more than <u>100X under-reported for events of this nature</u>, so it's important to keep that in mind when trying to estimate the number of actual events that occurred. There were 3,594 spontaneous abortions reported after COVID vaccinations, <u>so the number could easily represent</u> <u>360,000 excess deaths</u>. Some of these deaths could just be coincidence of course.

But the fact that the reported spontaneous abortions are very low for all other vaccines over time suggests the VAERS reports are only being made when the reporter believes there is an association with a vaccine. For example, in 2019 when everyone was getting vaccinated normally, there were just 7 spontaneous abortions reported from all flu vaccines combined, and 5 of the 7 had a Day 0 onset, and NONE had a Day 1 onset.

Indeed, the <u>medical literature says</u> "It is estimated that as many as **26%** of all pregnancies end in miscarriage" so if VAERS captured any of these "background events," the numbers over 33 years would be higher than the graphs below indicate.

In other words, it's more likely than not that these reports are reporting actual events caused by a vaccine and the fact that different vaccines have different rates also indicates


### From the 7/7/2023 release of VAERS data:

## Found 4,711 cases where Symptom is Abortion spontaneous

Government Disclaimer on use of this data

#### Graph

### Count by Vaccination-to-Onset Time and Vaccines



A search for miscarriages in VAERS (search term is spontaneous abortion). The blue line is the COVID vaccine. The next highest vaccine is the HPV vaccine, which is the second most dangerous vaccine that we still give to people. The most troubling part for the medical community to explain is the second peak at 15-30 days.

For reference, here are the numbers for the COVID vaccines worldwide in CDC Wonder:

#### Messages:

- VAERS data in CDC WONDER are updated every Friday, Hence, results for the same query can change from week to week.
- These results are for 3,611 total events.

Onset Interval 🐉	⇒ Events Reported § 3	● Percent (of 3,611) 含果
0 days	667	18.47%
1 day	208	5.76%
2 days	111	3.07%
3 days	91	2.52%
4 days	76	2.10%
5 days	60	1.66%
6 days	37.	1,02%
7 days	72	1.99%
8 days	45	4,25%
9 days	55	1.52%
10-14 days	244	6,76%
15-30 days	485	13.43%
31-60 days	441	12.21%
61-120 days	242	6,70%
Over 120 days	154	4.26%
Unknown	623	17,25%
Total	3,611	100.00%

### Stillbirth query

Here's the query results for stillbirth. A similar pattern emerges. This time, the next closest vaccine was the TDAP vaccine (in red). Every other vaccine was insignificant.

Starting in 2012 and continuing to the present, the CDC recommended ALL mothers get the Tdap vaccine during **each** pregnancy between 27 and 36 weeks' gestation:

## CDC and maternal, infant health providers support Tdap vaccination during pregnancy


CDC recommends all women receive Tdap during the 27th through 36th week of each pregnancy, preferably during the earlier part of this time period. The following medical associations dedicated to the health of pregnant women or children support this recommendation:

- American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists [4]
- American College of Nürse-Midwives 🛍 🖸
- American Academy of Pediatrics
- American Academy of Family Physicians [2]

So we are basically looking at **11 years of Tdap** compared to 2.5 years of the COVID vaccine. All things being equal, we should see 4.4X more Tdap reports than COVID reports.

Instead, it is 8.75X more for the COVID vaccine on day 0 onset reports, but actually lower than Tdap on Day 1.

So there is no way you can argue this is over-reporting. If it is over-reporting, the ratio of COVID/TDAP reports with a day 0 onset should be comparable to the ratio on Day 1. It's not. There is no other way to explain this other than the vaccine caused the excess.



A search for stillbirths across all vaccines. The second most dangerous vaccine is the TDAP vaccine which in 2017 had a total of just 2 reported stillbirths.

## More miscarriages for the COVID vaccine than all other vaccines in history combined!

There are 3,836 reports out of 4,711 total reports for all vaccines combined in the 33 year history of VAERS, regardless of the onset date.

So 81% of all the reports in history are coming from the COVID vaccine!

IPV JEV MEA



MedAlerts.org

0.38% 0.04%

### Search Results

From the 7/7/2023 release of VAERS data:

## Found 4,711 cases where Symptom is Abortion spontaneous

Government Disclaimer on use of this data

V		
Vaccines	Count	Percent
ANTH		0.389
COVID19	3,819	81.079
COVID19-2	17	0.369
DT	2	0.049
DTAP	3	
DTAPIPV	2	0.06 0.049
DTIPY		0.139
DTOX	1	0.029
DTP	**************************************	0.029
FLU(H1N1)	99	2/19
FLU3	85	1.89
FLU4	44	0.939
FLUA3	1	0.029
FLUA4	.1	0.029
FLUC4	10	0.219
FLUN(H1N1)	2	0.049
FLUN3		0.179
FLUN4	2	0.049
FLUR3	1	0.029
FLUR4		
FLUX	77	0.029 1.639
FLUX(H1N1)	42	0.89%
HEP	52	1.19
HEPA	64	1.36%
IEPAB	15	0.32%
IEPATYP		0.02% 0.02%
IPV2	58	
**************************************	**************************************	123% 6.73%
IPV9		0.36%
inimanininininininininininininininininin		

	on the same of	40 to
MEN	mounts tractions of the second	0.06%
MENB		0.02%
MER	omming minimum and a second	m Deserter in mer in the little in the littl
MMR		0:04%
MNO	:8:	1.8%
Market from the product of the control of the contr		0.32%
OPV		0.02%
PNC13		1
PPV	HERONO HARRESCHILD DONNER DER SERVICIO DE DES DE MARIE DE LA CONTRACTOR DE LA CONTRACTOR DE LA CONTRACTOR DE L	0.02%
With the control of t	untergreenderen betreet betree	0.11%
RAB	12	2 0.25%
RUB		0.06%
SMALL	mangan sanangaanaana sa	
TRE		0.08%
entabantaminintahin kalungan dalam menerahan dan dalam menerahan dan dan dalam dan dan dan dan dan dan dan dan		0.08%
TD:	16	0.34%
TDAP	42	
TTOX	***************************************	
TYP		a contraction and the contraction of the contractio
<u>ala liin kiki ka kata maraka kalika maraka ka kata ka ka</u>		Same and the same
UNK	56	1.19%
VARCEL	63	1,34%
VARZOS	seasceant principalitation to the religion of	a programme continue de la continue
YF		0.08%
	many many many many many many many many	0.32%
TOTAL	f 5,156	f: 109.42%
† Because VAERS cases can have multi	ple vaccinations symptome	

1 Because VAERS cases can have multiple vaccinations, symptoms, and event outcomes, a single case can account for multiple entries in this table. This is why the Total Count is greater than 4,711 (the number of cases found), and the Total Percent is greater than 100.

What this means is the COVID vaccines have FOUR TIMES as many miscarriages as all vaccines in the history of VAERS combined.

### Here's a US-only comparison of Tdap vs. COVID


For an apple-to-apples comparison, the Tdap vaccine is recommended for all pregnant women since 2012, by the CDC and other top medical organizations as noted earlier.

So 11 years of Tdap vs. 2.5 years of COVID, yet the COVID vaccine easily leaves the Tdap in the dust:

### From the 7/7/2023 release of VAERS data:

## Found 1,156 cases where Location is U.S. States and Vaccine is COVID19 or TDAP and Symptom is Abortion spontaneous

Government Disclaimer on use of this data



-X- HEPAB

-Δ- FLUX

-O-MMR


-A-MNQ

**12** WINK



## And myocarditis reports are off the charts compared to all vaccines over all time!

Here's the query for myocarditis. The COVID vaccine makes every other vaccine in the 33 year history of VAERS look safe.



## Shouldn't doctors be concerned about this?


Doctors everywhere should find this concerning, but they don't care. It isn't their problem.

Some doctors, such as <u>Peter McCullough</u> and <u>James Thorp</u>, are alarmed by the numbers. Here's an article where Peter McCullough is interviewed. Only 29,000 views because these types of articles are never carried by mainstream media.

In addition, Dr. Naomi Wolf has been a tireless advocate for how these vaccines are harming women (here's <u>an episode where she talks with Dr. Thorp</u>).


### How to replicate the graphs yourself in less than 60 seconds


- Go to medalerts.org
- Hit the big green "Search Now" link:



### 1. Fill out the Form as follows:

- In Section 1 (Presentation Styles), select Show Graph checkbox, then select "Onset Interval" and "Vaccines" in the dropdown menus below. NOTE: If you make a mistake and select "Vaccine Type" instead of "Vaccines" it won't work.
- In Section 2 (you will need to "Open" it), use the search box and type in "spontaneous," hit
  the Search button to the left of the search box, and then, after the results appear, simply
  select "spontaneous abortion."





- 2. Verify your screen looks like the screenshots above.
- 3. Hit any of the "Find" buttons (in any the blue area) to initiate the search.
- 4. You can then mouse over any of the data points in the graph to see values and vaccine type.
- 5. You can repeat this process for other symptoms, like "stillbirth".

## The CDC monitoring system is inept

The CDC monitoring system for VAERS did not flag spontaneous abortion or stillbirth as a POSSIBLE safety signal.

I checked the FOIA document on safety signals which isn't available on the CDC website; you have to go to *The Epoch Times* or Children's Health Defense to see the CDC's own safety analysis.

See this link for the data links to the CDC safety analysis.

This is embarrassing.

Anyone with a working brain can look at the graphs and conclude that "something MIGHT be wrong" with the COVID vaccines that deserves further study. It's obvious.

But the CDC signal detection algorithm didn't trigger at all. Can you believe that?

## The fact checkers will claim this is just over-reporting of background events

The media will try to normalize this with fake "fact checks" like they always do.

They will say, "oh the rules changed so you have to report these events" or that "people are more aware of VAERS now so there are 100X more events reported" or something like that.

None of this is true.

I've done professional surveys of healthcare workers. They are reporting more events because.... drum roll please.... there are simply more events to report. That in itself should be a big deal.

Can you believe that? Who'd have ever guessed? Certainly not the "fact checkers" or mainstream media who have NEVER commissioned a third party survey to determine why people are reporting more.



VAERS is mostly health care workers who report these events. You can tell by reading the descriptions. So they can't say, "oh, this is just an influx of consumer reports."

Lastly, the SHAPE of the curve for the COVID vaccine doesn't look like other vaccines. If it was just "overreporting," the shape would be the same. It isn't; the peaks are much more pronounced.

There is no way to "spin" this. But the "fact checkers" will just say it is over-reporting by consumers (even though the data doesn't support it) and never mention the shape isn't the same.

The public will accept the "fact check" without ever reading my article or checking whether the "fact check" is true.

#### Where is the "correct" analysis?

Finally, if my analysis is incorrect of the VAERS, where is the "correct" analysis of the data explaining how these results are "normal"?

I'd love to see it!

#### How is it that nobody has ever produced it?

Surely they must be aware of these numbers. You can't not be.

So why haven't they analyzed the data and explained why, citing evidence, that there is nothing to worry about?

Is this my job to do that for them to report these anomalies? I'm just a "misinformation spreader" according to them.

And they never will engage with me in a public dialog to explain to me how I got it wrong! They say that misinformation is a problem, but they refuse to do the single most effective thing to stop it: explain to me how I got it wrong.

There is no way to spin this as other than a disaster for public health and an abject failure of the CDC's safety monitoring systems.

The COVID vaccines have been a disaster for public health in general, and these charts for miscarriages (aka spontaneous abortions) and stillbirths make it crystal clear that these vaccines should never have been approved and **especially should have never been recommended by the CDC for pregnant women**. While there needs to be an investigation into this, it is doubtful that Congress is interested in doing this since they were pushing the vaccine on the American people so they will not want to make themselves look bad.

The CDC won't investigate this either.

Neither will the mainstream media. They will all ignore this.

But I thought you should know the truth. Verify it for yourself if you don't believe me.

And please, let your friends know that the US government is covering up this data in their own official database.





## Naomi Wolf: Pfizer docs show the COVID jab 'murders babies in the womb'

Naomi Wolf emphasized that the CDC promoted the COVID jab as 'safe' for pregnant women even after knowledge of multiple mRNA shot-induced deaths to unborn babies.

Professional and the second of the second second

August 18, 2023

(<u>LifeSiteNews</u>) — Feminist author and journalist Naomi Wolf has doubled down on her assertion that the Pfizer COVID mRNA injection was known to be lethal to unborn babies before being rolled out, declaring that the company's own documents show it "murders babies in the womb."

Wolf, a Democrat who decades ago controversially <u>claimed</u> that abortion is a "necessary evil," <u>shared</u> her dark conclusion about the jab on Steve Bannon's *War Room* earlier this month. When challenged by Bannon to back up her use of the word "murder," which he pointed out suggests "criminal elements" involved in the COVID shot release, Wolf affirmed that the evidence supports this term.

"Yes, we've brought the receipts," said Wolf, pointing listeners to the Pfizer report analysis she has shared on her website, including <u>Pfizer Report 69</u>, released in April 2023 by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) under court order.

Amy Kelly, Program Director of the War Room/DailyClout Pfizer Documents Analysis Project, called the information from this batch of Pfizer clinical documents, which included a "Pregnancy and Lactation Cumulative Review," "among the most horrifying" to be publicly released.

The Pfizer document revealed that in its clinical trials, "adverse events" occurred in over 54 percent of cases of "maternal exposure" to the vaccine (including through intercourse, inhalation, and skin contact); 53 women (21 percent) in its trial suffered spontaneous abortions "following BNT162b2 (Pfizer mRNA) vaccination"; and six premature labor and delivery cases resulted in two newborn deaths.

Wolf assured Bannon that "these are definitely unborn babies" being killed, since they involve the death of second and third trimester children, which even many abortion advocates consider to be "persons."

Despite knowledge of these "dire fetal and infant harms, including death," caused by the mRNA COVID shot, both Pfizer and the FDA "began an aggressive campaign to get women vaccinated anyway," Wolf noted upon the report's release.

She explained to Bannon that Pfizer sent this report to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) on April 10, 2021, meaning the CDC certainly had knowledge that the mRNA jab caused the deaths of unborn babies. And yet, in what Wolf described as a "shocking" occurrence, "three days later," Rochelle Walesnky, who was then the CDC Director, "gave a press conference from the White House stating that pregnant women should take the mRNA vaccine, that it was safe and effective."

Walensky <u>said</u> at the time, "No safety concerns were observed for people vaccinated in the third trimester or safety concerns for their babies. As such, CDC recommends that pregnant people receive the COVID-19 vaccine." In fact, as Wolf noted, Walensky declared that "there was no bad time to take it," including before, during, and after a pregnancy.

"So, these are absolute criminals who have taken over the treatment, the care, of the most vulnerable population, which is pregnant women. And when you're pregnant, you trust your OB-GYN, you do what they tell you," Wolf told Bannon.

8-5



"We have all been asking ourselves... how did they get so many doctors and hospitals to... abandon their Hippocratic Oath and their mission of healing people, and do things that harmed people?"

Wolf told Bannon that evidence has recently emerged showing "exactly how it happened," shedding light on a carrot-and-stick approach being used by the medical establishment on doctors.

"For example, medical licenses are being pulled from doctors who contradict the pro-Big Pharma, pro-mRNA 'vaccine' stance of the establishment."

Wolf pointed out that Dr. Peter McCullough and his colleague John Leake recently reported on their Substack "Courageous Discourse" that Dr. Pierre Kory and Dr. Paul Marik, two of the most prominent "dissident" doctors who have treating both COVID and injuries from the COVID shots, have been sent "disciplinary letters" from the medical licensing board.

"So, they've been put on notice that their licenses can be taken away if they continue to tell the truth and try to save people," Wolf remarked.

She went on to explain that, on the other hand, she has uncovered weighty incentives for doctors to promote the mRNA jabs.

BARBERA BERTARIO BARBARA BARBARA

She told how Dr. James Thorp and his wife procured, through the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), communications between the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) and non-governmental organizations that represent OG-GYNs showing that the "HHS was paying millions and millions of dollars" to these NGOs, under the terms of a contract that required OB-GYNs to "stick to the HHS script about the safety and efficacy of the emergency injection for pregnant women."

According to Wolf, the contract requires these doctors and entities to "give the money back" if they "start to tell the truth to pregnant women about the dangers and the actual risks" of the COVID shots. She maintains that these financial incentives to "lie" to pregnant women about the safety of the mRNA shot, and financial "punishments" if they tell the truth, continue to this day.

Wolf began to speak out about the health risks of the mRNA shots not long after they were released to the public. In June 2021, she was <u>suspended</u> from Twitter after raising the alarm about menstrual cycle disruptions associated with the injections.

Last year, she <u>alleged</u> that the shots have been causing a large-scale "baby die-off" which amounts to "genocide," after a team of 3,000 "highly credentialed doctors, RNs, biostatisticians," and "medical fraud investigators" affiliated with Steven Bannon's War Room and the Daily Clout, a media company which Wolf co-founded, began to review the 55,000 Pfizer documents <u>disclosed</u> by the FDA after a court order.

Astoundingly, the team has found from one of the released Pfizer trial reports that Pfizer reportedly, "lost the records" of 234 out of 270 mRNA-injected women who conceived a child, which Wolf said is a violation of the law.

Even more shocking is that "of the 36 [remaining] women whose pregnancies came to term, over 80 percent of them lost their babies [by] spontaneous abortion or miscarriage," she reported.

Gris Craw and Anal Craw Analysis Andréa and Antraktic Antraktic Cartesian de Salara and Cartesian al Cinara and

genterak ji kir kirikat belan ku kaci katili maka da katili maka da katili batili batili katili katili katili



## Pfizer, FDA & CDC Knew Dire Harm to Babies: Dr. Walensky Urged Vaccination for Pregnant Women Anyway

May 1, 2023 The Vigilant Fox

"These monsters looked at whether the babies would get sick and die ... And they saw that they did, and they kept going."

"Of all the 69 reports we now have, this is the most disturbing," expressed DailyClout CEO <u>Dr. Naomi Wolf</u> in a live stream on Sunday. "Because the bottom line is, according to a new tranche of Pfizer documents released just this month, this past month, April of 2023. And these are documents that go back to April of 2021 — exactly two years ago. Both Pfizer and the FDA knew that the mRNA COVID vaccine caused dire fetal and infant harms, including death."

<u>Dr. Wolf's</u> commentary comes at the heels of <u>Pfizer Report 69</u> titled, <u>Pfizer and FDA Knew in Early 2021 That Pfizer mRNA COVID "Vaccine" Caused Dire Fetal and Infant Risks, Including Death. They Began an Aggressive Campaign to Vaccinate Pregnant Women Anyway.</u>

Pfizer Documents Analysis Project Director Amy Kelly wrote:

The batch of Pfizer clinical trial documents released in April 2023 by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) under court order contains a shocking, eight-page document titled, "Pregnancy and Lactation Cumulative Review" ...

This document is among the most horrifying yet to emerge into public view. It reveals that both Pfizer and the FDA knew by early 2021 that Pfizer's mRNA COVID vaccine, BNT162b2, resulted in horrible damage to fetuses and babies.

Amy Kelly detailed the "horrible damage to fetuses and babies," as sourced from Pfizer's Pregnancy and Lactation Cumulative Review:

- Adverse events in over **54% of cases** of "maternal exposure" to the vaccine **(248 out of 458)**. The language "maternal exposure" implies that Pfizer acknowledged intercourse, inhalation, and skin contact as methods of exposure to its mRNA injection, as also evidenced by <u>Protocol Amendment 14</u>.
- "53 reports [or 21% 53/248] of spontaneous abortion (51)/ abortion (1)/ abortion missed (1) following BNT162b2 (Pfizer mRNA) vaccination."
- Six premature labor and delivery cases resulting in two newborn deaths.
- 19% (41/215) of babies in Pfizer's records exposed to the company's COVID mRNA vaccine via their mothers' breast milk were recorded as suffering from 48 different categories of adverse events.

The damage and suffering feel even more real when you narrow it down to individual stories.

The following reports are from Pregnancy and Lactation Cumulative Review in Pfizer's own writing:

- "A 15-month-old infant with medical history of vomiting experienced skin exfoliation and infant irritability while being breastfed (latency <7 days). The outcome of the event' skin exfoliation' was not recovered and outcome of event' infant irritability' was unknown."
- "A 9-month-old infant with a medical history of meningococcal vaccine and no history of allergies, asthma, eczema or anaphylaxis experienced rash and urticaria a day after exposure via lactation."
- "A day after the mother received vaccination, a baby developed a rash after breastfeeding. At the time of the report, the event was 'not recovered."
- "An 8-month-old infant experienced angioedema [an area of swelling of the lower layer of skin and tissue just under the skin or mucous membranes] one day after his mother received vaccination."
- "There were 2 cases reporting 'illness' after exposure via breast milk'. In the first case, a 6-month-old infant developed an unspecified sickness 2 days post-mother's vaccination. The outcome of the event sickness was recovered, and no causality assessment was provided. The second case, a 3-month-old infant developed an unspecified illness and required hospitalization for 6 days post-exposure via breast milk (>7 days latency)."

Pfizer employee, Robert T. Maroko, approved the Review with these horrific findings on April 20, 2021.

"This is a real person working at Pfizer, Mr. Robert T. Maroko, who looked at this damage to babies, these dead babies, these dead fetuses, these miserably-injured babies — approved it and sent it on to the FDA. The FDA approved it and gave it to Rochelle Walensky and the CDC," shared <u>Dr. Wolf.</u>



And three days later, on April 23, 2021, CDC Director Rochelle Walensky held a press conference, which kicked off an aggressive campaign to get pregnant women vaccinated.

#### Here's what she said:

"No safety concerns were observed for people vaccinated in the third trimester or safety concerns for their babies. As such, CDC recommends that pregnant people receive the COVID-19 vaccine."

"Words fail me," grieved <u>Dr. Naomi Wolf.</u>

"The FDA signed off on this document showing dead babies, sick babies, dead from the injection, sick from nursing, the spontaneous abortions, or dead subsequent to the injection, the spontaneous abortions, the respiratory distress, babies hospitalized ... These monsters looked at whether the babies would get sick and die or whether the fetuses would spontaneously abort, and they did. And they saw that they did, and they kept going."

"This was just [four] months into the rollout," <u>Dr. Wolf</u> mentioned. "And I want to remind you that breastfeeding has gone from 34% of moms and babies at the start of the pandemic to only 15% now, meaning that babies are having a terrible time with their mother's breast milk. Pfizer knew they would!" she exclaimed. "Pfizer knew they would! The FDA knew they would, and they told pregnant women and lactating women to get vaccinated anyway."


"At the end of this horrific, demonic analysis of all these sick and dying babies, all these aborted fetuses, all these babies getting sick from poisoned breast milk. Seriously sick. Damaged. They held a press conference. And Dr. Walensky, who has this report in hand, who has this report in hand," <u>Dr. Wolf</u> said twice with emphasis, "told the women of America and anyone else who is listening in the world that these vaccines were safe and effective for pregnant women and for their babies. And that to protect their babies, they had to get vaccinated. They knew. They knew. Absolutely criminal."

All the harrowing details of <u>Pfizer Report 69</u> are available on <u>DailyClout.io</u>.

And there are <u>68 other damning reports</u> — just like this one — using primary source Pfizer documents released under court order by the U.S. FDA.

These important summaries, which detail astonishing ranges of deaths, disabilities, and other systematic harms to subjects — damage that both Pfizer and the FDA sought to keep hidden from the public for 75 years — contain vastly important headlines: twenty forms of menstrual damage to women — how Pfizer covered up a flood of adverse events — PEG in breast milk — within a month of rollout, Pfizer knew the mRNA vaccines did not work.

Now, the information Pfizer and the FDA wanted to keep hidden for 75 years is available in <u>paperback form</u>. Funds and proceeds raised go to the research project, which helps makes more Pfizer Documents Analysis Reports possible. So, please, show your support and get your hands on this critical information in one place — by ordering <u>your copy</u> today.



Report 69: BOMBSHELL – Pfizer and FDA Knew in Early 2021 That Pfizer mRNA COVID "Vaccine" Caused Dire Fetal and Infant Risks, Including Death. They Began an Aggressive Campaign to Vaccinate Pregnant Women Anyway.

April 29, 2023 Amy Kelly

The batch of Pfizer clinical trial documents released in April 2023 by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) under court order contains a shocking, eight-page document titled, "Pregnancy and Lactation Cumulative Review." The data in the Cumulative Review span "...from the time of drug product development to 28-FEB-2021." A Pfizer employee, Robert T. Maroko, approved the Review on April 20, 2021. (p. 8)

This document is among the most horrifying yet to emerge into public view. It reveals that both Pfizer and the FDA knew by early 2021 that Pfizer's mRNA COVID vaccine, BNT162b2, resulted in horrible damage to fetuses and babies. (Though I arrived at the conclusions in this article on my own from reviewing the document linked here, Sonia Elijah previously covered some of this same material on April 22nd on TrialSiteNews and on April 26th on Substack and Redacted.) Pfizer tabulated:

Adverse events in over 54% of cases of "maternal exposure" to vaccine (248 out of 458). "Maternal exposure" is defined on pp. 1-2 as: "PTs Maternal exposure timing unspecified, Maternal exposure during pregnancy, Maternal exposure before pregnancy, Exposure during pregnancy." These definitions imply that Pfizer may have been looking at damage to women and babies that could result from intercourse, inhalation, and skin contact prior to pregnancy, as Pfizer defines "exposure" including all three in its protocol (Protocol Amendment 14, https://www.phmpt.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/125742\_S1\_M5\_5351\_c4591001-interim-mth6-protocol.pdf, pp. 213, 246, 398, 431, 575, 607, 751, 783, 918, 948, 1073, 1103, 1226, 1255, 1378, 1406, 1522, 1549, 1663, 1688, 1813, 1836, 1949, 1969, 2081, 2100, 2211, 2228, and 2337.)

Pfizer's tally of damages to fetuses and babies includes:

"53 reports [or 21% – 53/248] of spontaneous abortion (51)/ abortion (1)/ abortion missed (1) following BNT162b2 vaccination." (p. 4). A "missed abortion" is "an empty gestational sac, blighted ovum, or a fetus or fetal pole without a heartbeat prior to completion of 20 weeks 0 days gestation." (https://www.acog.org/practice-management/coding/coding-library/billing-for-interruption-of-early-pregnancy-loss)

Fetal tachycardia (irregular heart rate faster than 180 beats per minute) that required early delivery and hospitalization of the affected newborn for five days. "The clinical outcome of fetal tachycardia was unknown." (p. 2)

Six premature labor and delivery cases (p. 3) resulting in:

Two newborn deaths. Cause of death for one baby "was cited as extreme prematurity with severe respiratory distress and pneumothorax." Pfizer stated the other death was due to "premature baby less than 26 weeks and severe respiratory distress and pneumothorax." Note that newborn pneumothorax is a condition where air leaks out of the lung and collects between the lung and the chest wall.

**Newborn severe respiratory distress.** (Note: California midwife, Ellen Jasmer, has described exactly this phenomena happening in her practice in a recent DailyClout interview.)

It is not just fetuses and newborn babies that Pfizer calmly noted were being damaged in the company's internal records. Pfizer also recorded multiple harms to babies through the milk of vaccinated mothers. According to Pfizer in the Cumulative Review, 19% (41/215) of babies in Pfizer's records exposed to the company's COVID mRNA vaccine via their mothers' breast milk were recorded as suffering from 48 different categories of adverse events\* (pp. 6-7). These included:

Preferred Term	Explanation	# of Events
Pyrexia	Fever	9
Off label use		8

Product use issue		
Infant irritability		7
		5
Headache		5
Rash		5
Diarrhoea		3
Illness		3
Insomnia		3
Suppressed lactation		3
Breast milk discolouration		2
Infantile vomiting		2
Lethargy		2
Pain		2
Peripheral coldness		2
Urticaria	Hives	2
Vomiting		2
Abdominal discomfort		1
Agitation		1
Allergy to vaccine		1
Angioedema	An area of swelling of the lower layer of skin and tissue just under the skin or mucous membranes. The swelling may occur in the face, tongue, larynx, abdomen, or arms and legs. Often it is associated with hives, which are swelling within the upper skin.	1
Anxiety		1
Axillary pain		1
Breast pain		1
Breast swelling		1

Cough		1
Crying		* Markhayar
Dysgeusia	Also known as parageusia. A distortion of the sense of taste.	
Dysphonia	Hoarseness, most frequently caused by a problem with a person's vocal cords or larynx.	1
Eructation	Belching.	1
Epistaxis	Nosebleeds.	<b>1</b>
Eyelid ptosis	Droopy eyelid.	1
Facial paralysis		1
Fatigue		1
Increased appetite		1
Lymphadenopathy	Swollen lymph nodes.	
Myalgia		1
Nausea		1
Paresis		1
Poor feeding infant		1
Poor quality sleep		1
Pruritis	ltchy skin.	1
Restlessness		1
Rhinorrhoea	Runny nose.	1 1
Roseola	An infection that can cause a high fever followed by a rash.	1
kin exfoliation		1
/ision blurred		1

<sup>\*</sup>From Table 2 - Number of Adverse Events Reported in Infants with 'Exposure via Lactation' (pp. 6-7)

Some of the babies' suffering was serious: there were ten "Serious Adverse Events" (SAEs) from "Exposure via Lactation." The Review outlines six of them (p. 7):

"A 15-month old infant with medical history of vomiting experienced skin exfoliation and infant irritability while being breastfed (latency <7 days). The outcome of the event 'skin exfoliation' was not recovered and outcome of event 'infant irritability' was unknown. No causality was reported by the physician."



"A 9-month old infant with a medical history of meningococcal vaccine and no history of allergies, asthma, eczema or anaphylaxis experienced **rash** and **urticaria** a day after exposure via lactation. The outcome of the events was 'resolved' and event did not happen after the second day. No causality assessment was provided."

"A day after the mother received vaccination, a baby developed a rash after breastfeeding. At the time of the report, the event was 'not recovered. [Sic] A causality assessment was not provided."

"An 8-month old infant experienced angioedema [an area of swelling of the lower layer of skin and tissue just under the skin or mucous membranes] one day after his mother received vaccination. The event was considered non-serious by health authority and the outcome at the time of the report was unknown. No causality was provided."

"There were 2 cases reporting 'illness' after exposure via breast milk'. In the first case, a 6-month old infant developed an unspecified sickness 2 days post mother's vaccination. The outcome of the event sickness was recovered, and no causality assessment was provided. The second case, a 3-month old infant developed an unspecified illness and required hospitalization for 6 days post exposure via breast milk (>7 days latency). The event outcome was reported as 'recovering' and no causality assessment was provided."

Pfizer's Summary and Conclusion section of the Cumulative Review states, "The cases reviewed above are indicative of what is in the Pfizer safety database as of 28 February 2021. The sponsor (Pfizer/BioNTech) will continue to monitor and report on all pregnancy exposure and lactation cases. It is important to note that the spontaneous safety database is intended for hypothesis generation and not hypothesis testing." (p. 7)

Despite Pfizer and the FDA knowing by April 20, 2021, the extent of damage to fetuses and babies, including the fact that fetuses and newborns had died, on April 23, 2021, inexplicably Dr. Rochelle Walensky held a White House press briefing where she recommended pregnant women get vaccinated. (https://www.verywellhealth.com/pregnant-women-covid-vaccine-5092509)

Please read this damning "Pregnancy and Lactation Cumulative Review".



# Shocking FOIA Results: \$11 Million to Bribe OB-GYNs to Lie to Moms About Safety of MRNA-Vaccines

August 4, 2023 DailyClout

Dr. Naomi Wolf interviews Dr. James Thorp. Dr. Thorp reveals FOIA results: \$11 million to bribe OB-GYNs to lie to moms about often-abortifacient MRNA injections.

1-0/1

https://rumble.com/v34nme9-shocking-foia-results-11-million-to-bribe-ob-gyns-to-lie-to-moms-about-safe.html

Dr. Thorpe is noted as one of the top OB/Gyn's in the nation.

## **Government Gave Millions To Top Reproductive Health Org To Promote COVID-19 Vaccines To Pregnant Women**

SEP 08, 2023 Megan Redshaw, J.D.

The premier professional membership organization for obstetricians and gynecologists accepted \$11.8 million from the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) to promote COVID-19 vaccines to pregnant women, despite the exclusion of pregnant women from clinical trials and regulatory data showing the vaccine had not been tested for safety during pregnancy.

To learn more about COVID-19 funding received by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) during the pandemic and what prompted the organization's guidance on COVID-19 vaccines for pregnant women, Dr. James Thorp, a board-certified obstetrician-gynecologist and maternal-fetal medicine physician made a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request in 2022 to HHS.

"My request was simple: It sought only to obtain documents involving the three 'Cooperative Agreement' grants HHS/CDC made to ACOG during the pandemic, one of which was for \$11.8 million, listed on a publicly accessible open data source for federal spending, <u>USASPENDING.gov</u>," Thorp told The Epoch Times.

Documents <u>obtained by Dr. Thorp</u> show ACOG, on Feb. 1, 2021, was awarded the first of three cooperative agreement grants by HHS and the CDC. The receipt of COVID-19 grant money was contingent upon ACOG yielding substantial control over projects funded by the CDC to the agency and ACOG's full compliance with CDC guidance on COVID-19 infection and control.

"This is a cooperative agreement, and CDC will have substantial programmatic involvement after the award is made. Substantial involvement is in addition to all post-award monitoring, technical assistance, and performance reviews undertaken in the normal course of stewardship of federal funds," the documents state.

ACOG also agreed to allow the CDC program staff to "assist, coordinate, or participate in carrying out effort under the award."

The contracts further provided for the return of funding to the HHS if ACOG did not adhere to the federal government's messaging that COVID-19 vaccines were safe and effective for pregnant women and new mothers.

### HHS Funds 'Trusted Messengers' to Increase Vaccine Confidence

HHS, on April 1, 2021, launched the "COVID-19 Community Corps," a "nationwide, grassroots network of local voices and trusted community leaders to encourage vaccinations," with more than 275 founding member organizations, including ACOG, that had the "ability to reach millions of Americans." An archived HHS webpage states the program provides resources and fact-based public health information through HHS in partnership with the CDC.

As part of the multibillion-dollar program, Vice President Kamala Harris and Surgeon General Vivek Murthy met with founding members to discuss the next phase of the "public education campaign from the White House" to encourage vaccinations and increase vaccine confidence.

Members received weekly updates on the "latest scientific and medical updates, talking points about the vaccine, social media suggestions, infographics, factsheets with timely, accurate information, and tools to help people get registered for an appointment and vaccinated."

"As part of the COVID-19 Community Corps, HHS awarded billions of federal dollars to recruit what HHS referred to as 'trusted community leaders' who could push vaccines within our most private relationships," Thorp said. "Much like modern-day trojan horses, these 'trusted messengers' would be unique in their ability to permeate all facets of private life."



## ACOG Encourages Members to 'Enthusiastically Recommend Vaccination'

Former CDC Director Dr. Rochelle Walensky, on April 23, 2021, announced for the first time during a <u>White House COVID-19</u> briefing the agency was recommending all pregnant women get vaccinated despite limited data on the safety of the shot, as pregnant women were not included in COVID-19 vaccine clinical trials.

Dr. Walensky said her decision was based on preliminary findings published in <u>The New England Journal of Medicine</u> on the use of COVID-19 vaccines during the first 11 weeks of the vaccine rollout.

"We know that this is a deeply personal decision, and I encourage people to talk to their doctors and their primary care providers to determine what is best for them and for their baby," Dr. Walensky said.

ACOG, on July 30, 2021, along with the Society of Maternal Fetal Medicine (SMFM), began <u>recommending COVID-19 vaccination</u> in pregnancy.

ACOG, founded in 1951, is the leading organization representing physicians and specialists in obstetrical care, with over 60,000 members. ACOG sets the standard of care for pregnant women and obstetrician—gynecologists generally follow the recommendations made by ACOG, just as pediatricians follow the recommendations of the American Academy of Pediatrics.

The SMFM represents more than 5,500 individuals with additional years of formal training in maternal-fetal medicine, making them "highly qualified experts and leaders in the care of complicated pregnancies." ACOG's former president, Dr. J. Martin Tucker, in a statement on the organization's website, encouraged members to "enthusiastically recommend vaccination" to their pregnant patients and to emphasize the "known safety of the vaccines and the increased risks of severe complications associated with COVID-19 infection, including death, during pregnancy."

"It is clear that pregnant people need to feel confident in the decision to choose vaccination, and a strong recommendation from their obstetrician—gynecologist could make a meaningful difference for many pregnant people," Tucker added. "Pregnant individuals should feel confident that choosing COVID-19 vaccination not only protects them but also protects their families and communities," he added.

Dr. William Grobman, president of SMFM, said experts in high-risk pregnancy should "strongly recommend" pregnant women get vaccinated and that vaccination is "safe before, during, or after pregnancy," despite the absence of clinical trial data.

Read more <u>here...</u>