

10 myths told by COVID experts — and now debunked

Marty Makary MD, MPH is a professor at the Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine and author of "The Price We Pay."

February 27, 2023

In the past few weeks, a series of analyses published by highly respected researchers have exposed a truth about public health officials during COVID:

Much of the time, they were wrong.

To be clear, public health officials were not wrong for making recommendations based on what was known at the time.

That's understandable. You go with the data you have.

No, they were wrong because they refused to change their directives in the face of new evidence.

When a study did not support their policies, they dismissed it and censored opposing opinions.

At the same time, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention weaponized research itself by putting out its own flawed studies in its own non-peer-reviewed medical journal, MMWR.

In the final analysis, **public health officials actively propagated misinformation that ruined lives and forever damaged public trust in the medical profession.**

Here are 10 ways they misled Americans:

Misinformation #1: Natural immunity offers little protection compared to vaccinated immunity

A Lancet study looked at 65 major studies in 19 countries on natural immunity. The researchers concluded that natural immunity was at least as effective as the primary COVID vaccine series.

Public health officials downplayed concerns about vaccine-induced myocarditis — or inflammation of the heart muscle. AP/Nathan Papes

In fact, the scientific data was there all along — from 160 studies, despite the findings of these studies violating Facebook's "misinformation" policy.

Since the Athenian plague of 430 BC, it has been observed that those who recovered after infection were protected against severe disease if reinfected.

That was also the observation of nearly every practicing physician during the first 18 months of the COVID pandemic.

Most Americans who were fired for not having the COVID vaccine already had antibodies that effectively neutralized the virus, but they were antibodies that the government did not recognize.

Misinformation #2: Masks prevent COVID transmission

Cochran Reviews are considered the most authoritative and independent assessment of the evidence in medicine.

And one published last month by a highly respected Oxford research team found that masks had no significant impact on COVID transmission.

When asked about this definitive review, CDC Director Dr. Rochelle Walensky downplayed it, arguing that it was flawed because it focused on randomized controlled studies.

1-2

A study recently found that masks didn't have much of an effect on preventing COVID-19 transmission. Jeenah Moon/Pool via REUTERS

But that was the greatest strength of the review! Randomized studies are considered the gold standard of medical evidence.

If all the energy used by public health officials to mask toddlers could have been channeled to reduce child obesity by encouraging outdoor activities, we would be better off.

Misinformation #3: School closures reduce COVID transmission

The CDC ignored the European experience of keeping schools open, most without mask mandates.

Transmission rates were no different, evidenced by studies conducted in Spain and Sweden.

Misinformation #4: Myocarditis from the vaccine is less common than from the infection

Public health officials downplayed concerns about vaccine-induced myocarditis — or inflammation of the heart muscle.

They cited poorly designed studies that under-captured complication rates.

A flurry of well-designed studies said the opposite.

We now know that myocarditis is six to 28 times more common after the COVID vaccine than after the infection among 16- to 24-year-old males.

Tens of thousands of children likely got myocarditis, mostly subclinical, from a COVID vaccine they did not need because they were entirely healthy or because they already had COVID.

Misinformation #5: Young people benefit from a vaccine booster

Boosters reduced hospitalizations in older, high-risk Americans.

But the evidence was never there that they lower COVID mortality in young, healthy people.

That's probably why the CDC chose not to publish its data on hospitalization rates among boosted Americans under 50, when it published the same rates for those over 50.

Ultimately, White House pressure to recommend boosters for all was so intense that the FDA's two top vaccine experts left the agency in protest, writing scathing articles on how the data did not support boosters for young people.

Misinformation #6: Vaccine mandates increased vaccination rates

President Biden and other officials demanded that unvaccinated workers, regardless of their risk or natural immunity, be fired.

They demanded that soldiers be dishonorably discharged and nurses be laid off in the middle of a staffing crisis.

The mandate was based on the theory that vaccination reduced transmission rates — a notion later proven to be false.

But after the broad recognition that vaccination does not reduce transmission, the mandates persisted, and still do to this day.

A recent study from George Mason University details how vaccine mandates in nine major US cities had no impact on vaccination rates.

They also had no impact on COVID transmission rates.

Misinformation #7: COVID originating from the Wuhan lab is a conspiracy theory

Google admitted to suppressing searches of "lab leak" during the pandemic.

Dr. Francis Collins, head of the National Institutes of Health, claimed (and still does) he didn't believe the virus came from a lab.

Ultimately, overwhelming circumstantial evidence points to a lab leak origin — the same origin suggested to Dr. Anthony Fauci by two very prominent virologists in a January 2020 meeting he assembled at the beginning of the pandemic.

According to documents obtained by Bret Baier of Fox News, they told Fauci and Collins that the virus may have been manipulated and originated in the lab, but then suddenly changed their tune in public comments days after meeting with the NIH officials.

The virologists were later awarded nearly \$9 million from Fauci's agency.

The theory that COVID-19 originated from a Chinese lab in Wuhan proved to be true. HECTOR RETAMAL/AFP via Getty Images

Misinformation #8: It was important to get the second vaccine dose three or four weeks after the first dose

Data were clear in the spring of 2021, just months after the vaccine rollout, that spacing the vaccine out by three months reduces complication rates and increases immunity.

Spacing out vaccines would have also saved more lives when Americans were rationing a limited vaccine supply at the height of the epidemic.

Misinformation #9: Data on the bivalent vaccine is 'crystal clear'

Dr. Ashish Jha famously said this, despite the bivalent vaccine being approved using data from eight mice.

To date, there has never been a randomized controlled trial of the bivalent vaccine.

In my opinion, the data are crystal clear that young people should not get the bivalent vaccine.

It would have also spared many children myocarditis.

Misinformation #10: One in five people get long COVID

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention claims that 20% of COVID infections can result in long COVID.

But a UK study found that only 3% of COVID patients had residual symptoms lasting 12 weeks. What explains the disparity?

It's often normal to experience mild fatigue or weakness for weeks after being sick and inactive and not eating well.

Calling these cases long COVID is the medicalization of ordinary life.

What's most amazing about all the misinformation conveyed by CDC and public health officials is that there have been no apologies for holding on to their recommendations for so long after the data became apparent that they were dead wrong.

Public health officials said "you must" when the correct answer should have been "we're not sure."

Early on, in the absence of good data, public health officials chose a path of stern paternalism.

Today, they are **in denial of a mountain of strong studies showing that they were wrong.**

At minimum, the CDC should come clean and the FDA should add a warning label to COVID vaccines, clearly stating what is now known.

A mea culpa by those who led us astray would be a first step to rebuilding trust.

mRNA Vaccines Contain DNA That Can Turn Human Cells Into Permanent Spike Protein Factories – Study

Daily Sceptic - March 1, 2023

The mRNA Covid vaccines from Pfizer and Moderna contain billions of particles of self-replicating DNA that can turn human cells into permanent factories for the COVID-19 spike protein, a study has found.

The result is thought to shed light on the **persistence** of vaccine spike protein and mRNA in the body for months following inoculation, a worrying phenomenon which has not so far been fully explained – though **earlier experiments** also found evidence the vaccine mRNA may be reverse-transcribed into the cell DNA.

Persistence of spike protein is **believed by experts** to be a contributor to adverse effects of the COVID-19 vaccines by inducing auto-immune attacks on the heart and other organs, among other mechanisms.

The discovery was made in the first **deep sequencing** of the mRNA products, carried out by Dr. Kevin McKernan of **Medicinal Genomics** and his team.

The researchers found that the vaccines were contaminated with significant quantities of biological agents known as **plasmids**. Plasmids are small circular DNA molecules that can replicate in bacteria, including bacteria found inside humans – and also in human cells when the plasmids are suitably modified to be used as a genetic engineering or gene expression vehicle, as in this case. The vectors found in the mRNA shots contain the DNA that codes for the mRNA that produces the spike protein. A cell that has taken in these plasmids may be able to produce the spike protein indefinitely.

The Moderna vaccine was found to contain one plasmid per 3,000 mRNA molecules while the contamination in the Pfizer vaccine was 10 times higher at one plasmid per 350 mRNA molecules. The 'safe' level for such double-stranded DNA contaminants is set by the European Medicines Agency as the equivalent of one part per 3,000 mRNA molecules – though the researchers note that it's "not clear how they set these standards" or whether they "had considered contaminating DNA that was capable of amplifying inside the host".

Moderna meets this 'safe' threshold but Pfizer is over it by a factor of 10. The researchers add that in either case it "equates to billions of antibiotic resistant plasmids injected per person per shot". That's before they replicate: "Billions of these contaminants per injection is likely an underestimate of the entire burden as these plasmids can self-replicate in bacterial hosts."

The researchers express concern that the plasmids also confer resistance to the antibiotics neomycin and kanamycin on any bacteria that take them up, and worry that this may "transform the gut microbiome" of a human host.

2-2

The spike-manufacturing plasmids are an integral part of the vaccine manufacturing process, providing the blueprint for the mRNA, but why they continue to contaminate the vaccines at such high levels and have not been more fully removed is unclear.

Dr. Anthony Brookes, Professor of Genomics and Health Data Science at the University of Leicester, told the *Daily Sceptic*: "This is a solid piece of research by a very knowledgeable team."

He added:

The DNA vector molecules from which the mRNA is created ('transcribed') is a stable entity, and it is shown to be present at non-trivial levels in the vaccines. It will therefore presumably get into bacteria and human cells throughout the injected person, to be potentially transcribed into mRNA and cause long-term expression of spike protein.

We must hope that vector-carrying, spike-expressing cells are progressively eliminated by the immune system, but if tolerance is created by long-term exposure to the toxic spike protein then this removal may not be very efficient. In this worst-case but feasible scenario, a residue of spike producing cells may exist for months or years – slowly and steadily damaging many organs and tissues in the vaccinated individual. Treatments that help to eliminate or negate the action of the spike protein need to be established, and fortunately various candidate interventions are now being reported.

Governments that have approved and mandated these products should make a priority of replicating these worrying findings and fully investigating their implications.

3-1

'Finally' The Lancet Acknowledges Natural Immunity Superior to mRNA COVID Vaccines

Immunity acquired from past COVID-19 infection provides strong, lasting protection against severe outcomes from the illness at a level "as high if not higher" than that provided by mRNA vaccines, according to a study published Thursday in The Lancet.

Brenda Baletti, Ph.D. February 17, 2023

Immunity acquired from past COVID-19 infection provides strong, lasting protection against severe outcomes from the illness at a level "as high if not higher" than that provided by mRNA vaccines, according to a study published Thursday in The Lancet.

Researchers conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of 65 studies worldwide, providing overwhelming evidence to support what many scientists, doctors and studies have said since early in the COVID-19 pandemic.

"The Lancet is finally acknowledging what doctors and scientists have been gaslit for saying for years — that natural immunity provides superior protection to experimental vaccines," said Robert F. Kennedy, Jr., chairman and chief litigation counsel for Children's Health Defense.

"Only the tsunami of propaganda and censorship from the pharma/government biosecurity cartel and the controlled media persuaded the public that Pfizer and Moderna were better at protecting the human immune system than God and evolution," he added.

The study found that immunity acquired from infection was often far more robust and consistently waned more slowly than the immunity from two doses of an mRNA vaccine.

The researchers found that natural immunity was at least 88.9% effective against severe disease, hospitalization and death for all COVID-19 variants 10 months after infection.

It also provided 78.6% protection against reinfection for all variants except omicron BA.1, for which protection was 45.3%.

At an October 2022 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices meeting, the CDC presented data showing that vaccine-acquired immunity after two or three injections dropped to zero six months after injection, and then became negative.

3-2

In October 2021, Project Veritas exposed three Pfizer officials saying that antibodies lead to equal if not better protection against the virus compared to the vaccine, The Defender reported.

Later, in April 2022, Pfizer documents held by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and released under court order confirmed Pfizer knew natural immunity was as effective as the company's COVID-19 vaccine at preventing severe illness, journalist Kim Iversen reported.

Most recently, the Twitter files revealed that a Pfizer board member who used to head the FDA lobbied Twitter to take action against a post accurately pointing out that natural immunity is superior to COVID-19 vaccination, The Epoch Times reported.

FOIA requests also revealed that Dr. Anthony Fauci and his boss, National Institutes of Health Director Francis Collins, colluded to suppress the Great Barrington Declaration, which argues that natural immunity plays an important role in mitigating public harm from COVID-19, The Defender reported.

The vaccines are failing, which means we need more vaccines

Media that reported on the study, including NBC, ABC and U.S. News & World Report, continue to advocate for vaccination as the more important way to protect against severe disease and death from COVID-19.

This is despite the fact that even vaccine advocates Bill Gates and Fauci admitted that COVID-19 vaccines perform poorly.

In a paper published last month in Cell Host and Microbe, Fauci and his co-authors confirmed that the predominantly mucosal respiratory viruses, including influenza, coronaviruses, respiratory syncytial virus, or RSV, and common colds "have not to date been effectively controlled by licensed or experimental vaccines."

They concluded, "Durably protective vaccines against non-systemic mucosal respiratory viruses with high mortality rates have thus far eluded vaccine development efforts."

Nass said that while it is quite significant for The Lancet to publish these findings about natural immunity, the authors' framing, like the admissions by Gates and Fauci, "is intended to quietly, without apology, veer away from current COVID vaccines, while implying that more money is needed to develop new types of vaccines. No one made any mistakes. No one accepts any blame. Chris Murray never erred with his outlandish estimates. No, just send money and let us do the science."

3-3

The Lancet study stated that “although protection from reinfection from all variants wanes over time, our analysis of the available data suggests that the level of protection afforded by previous infection is at least as high, if not higher than that provided by two-dose vaccination using high-quality mRNA vaccines (Moderna and Pfizer-BioNTech).”

The study was funded in part by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. Authors included Dr. Christopher Murray, director of The Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, the Gates-funded institute that was “largely responsible for the notoriously exaggerated mortality calculations that overestimated COVID deaths by 20-fold at the COVID pandemic’s outset,” according to Kennedy.

The authors argued, based on their findings, that natural immunity should be recognized along with vaccines when authorities are considering restricting travel, access to venues and work based on immunization status.

Commenting on these conclusions, Dr. Meryl Nass, internist and epidemiologist, said:

“While framing this as an acknowledgment that natural immunity confers protection, what it is also doing is providing tacit agreement that government-imposed policies restricting travel are acceptable. It furthermore provides tacit approval of vaccine passports.”

The ‘cartel’s’ war on natural immunity

In October 2020, The Lancet published an article — “Scientific consensus on the COVID-19 pandemic: we need to act now” — by authors including CDC Director Rochelle Walensky, which was widely covered in the mainstream press. They stated that “there is no evidence for lasting protective immunity to SARS-CoV-2 following natural infection” and that “the consequence of waning immunity would present a risk to vulnerable populations for the indefinite future.”

But in November 2021, a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request forced the CDC to admit that it didn’t even collect data on natural immunity.

Then, in January 2022, the CDC was compelled to revise its position on natural immunity, acknowledging in a report that natural immunity against COVID-19 was at least three times as effective as vaccination at preventing people from becoming infected with the Delta variant.

The pharmaceutical companies were also aware of the benefits of naturally acquired immunity, although they suppressed that information, documents revealed.

4-1

Landmark Study Vindicates Everyone Who Touted Natural Immunity to COVID-19

By Bonchie | February 17, 2023

We are going to need a new industry that simply spends its time fact-checking the so-called “fact-checkers.” That’s the story after a new landmark study published in *The Lancet* offered vindication to everyone who touted natural immunity to COVID-19 as an alternative to vaccination.

For years, the powers that be, including Dr. Anthony Fauci, the White House, and the press, dismissed the idea of taking natural immunity into account when debating vaccine mandates and vaccine passports.

They were wrong, so much so that even the mainstream media are having to admit it.

An incredible visual in *The Lancet* proving that prior Covid infection confers better protection than vaccinations (It’s the longest line on these graphs). This should put the issue to rest once and for all. pic.twitter.com/NFp6SrKfXZ

— Eli Klein (@TheEliKlein) February 17, 2023

This study also puts to rest another common talking point, which is that natural immunity only came into play with Omicron. Yet, if you look at the longest lines on each of the above graphs (those represent natural immunity compared to various vaccine dosages), natural immunity provides the most durable protection against *all* variants.

The elites were wrong, and they destroyed countless lives in the process. Worse, they wanted to keep how wrong they were a secret. This kind of study could have been done two years ago to bring clarity to the situation. In fact, Israel did a similar study way back in 2021 that gave nearly the same results. It was ignored while the Biden administration and its press allies continued to push the need for vaccine mandates and vaccine passports.

How many people lost their jobs over that decision? How many people missed time with family members they’ll never get back because those family members died alone? How many people were booted out of the military for failing to get a vaccine they didn’t need? The number of horrible outcomes brought on by Biden, Fauci, and others refusing to acknowledge natural immunity is too long to list. The harm was immeasurable.

Yet, even in the midst of this news, the “experts” are doing their best to downplay it. Here’s a line within the NBC News report on the matter. The article also goes on to *still* plug getting boosters because it’s become a religious rite at this point.

Dr. Bob Wachter, the chairman of the department of medicine at the University of California, San Francisco, said he did not expect the protection acquired from infection to be as robust as the meta-analysis found.

“The protection against severe infection, both the extent and the length of it at almost a year, is really surprisingly high,” said Wachter, who was not involved with the research.

These are the people who misled you for years. Their opinions should be dismissed with prejudice, and Americans shouldn’t forget who took their freedoms away based on non-existent data. The CDC had the ability and sample size available to stringently test natural immunity within the first eight to ten months of the pandemic. They didn’t do so because they didn’t want to do so. Keeping up the justification for Biden’s terrible policies (as well as many terrible state-level policies) took precedence. Hold them accountable.

5-1

Natural Immunity As Good As Or Better Than COVID-19 Vaccination: Study

FEB 18, 2023

Post-infection immunity is similar or even superior to the protection bestowed by COVID-19 vaccines, according to a new study.

Post-infection protection—known widely as natural immunity—was strong and remained significant over time, researchers found. Against the Wuhan, Alpha, and Delta variants, the protection against re-infection was 85 percent at four weeks, 78 percent at 40 weeks, and 55.5 percent at 80 weeks.

That protection dropped more quickly against the Omicron BA.1 subvariant, declining to 36 percent by 40 weeks, and protection against symptomatic disease also waned below 50 percent.

But shielding against severe disease was strong against all strains, including the BA.1 subvariant, researchers found. The naturally immune enjoyed 88.9 percent protection against BA.1 at 40 weeks, which was actually higher than against earlier strains.

“Our analysis found significantly reduced protection against re-infection from the omicron BA.1 variant but that levels of protection against severe disease remained high,” Dr. Stephen Lim of the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation at the University of Washington’s School of Medicine, and his co-authors, said in the study.

Dr. Brett Giroir, a former Trump administration health official whose post on natural immunity was censored by Twitter on behalf of Pfizer board member Dr. Scott Gottlieb, said the study “demonstrates robustness of natural immunity.”

Dr. Vinay Prasad, an epidemiologist at the University of California, San Francisco who was also not involved in the study, said that the paper made a “compelling case that we can effectively stop boosting average risk individuals (most adults) who have had covid.”

“Vaccine policy should have been different in people with prior illness,” Prasad also said.

Public health officials have repeatedly said that vaccination is better than natural immunity, or that the naturally immune should still get vaccinated despite the protection they have. Some other countries have acknowledged natural immunity by lowering the number of recommended doses for the population.

5-2

Comparison to Vaccination

The researchers performed a review and meta-analysis by looking for studies on natural immunity conducted through Sept. 31, 2022. Studies were included if a group of naturally immune, unvaccinated people were compared to unvaccinated people who had not been infected. Studies that also included vaccinated people were included if the research also included unvaccinated and naturally immune people. Studies that only had results for natural immunity in combination with vaccination, or hybrid immunity, were excluded.

Researchers performed a modeling technique called Bayesian meta-regression to reach pooled estimates of protection by time since infection.

In total, 65 studies were included in the meta-analysis from 19 different countries. Just 30, though, included information on time since infection, and a subset of those included information on one or more of the outcomes—re-infection, symptomatic disease, and severe disease—during the BA.1 era.

One of the researchers' main conclusions was that the study showed that **natural immunity "is at least equivalent if not greater than that provided by two-dose mRNA vaccines," or the Pfizer and Moderna messenger RNA vaccines.**

That conclusion was supported by references to just two studies—one unpublished paper and one published paper from Qatar that found natural immunity was more protective than the mRNA vaccines. A graph in the study also showed natural immunity conferring better immunity vaccination against infection, symptomatic disease, and severe disease—including against three vaccine doses, or a primary series and a booster.

The researchers also emphasized that COVID-19 can cause problems, including death, but **did not mention side effects from vaccination that can also cause long-term issues, including mortality.**

Limitations included the low number of studies that were analyzed for the analysis and the reliance on observational studies.

The study was published by The Lancet. Researchers received funding from several sources, including the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. Bill Gates, the Microsoft founder, has repeatedly promoted vaccination during the pandemic.

6-1

The Lancet finally acknowledges that natural immunity is far superior to mRNA covid “vaccination”

February 21, 2023 [Ethan Huff](#)

(Natural News) A new study published in *The Lancet* confirms that natural immunity to the Wuhan coronavirus (Covid-19) is far superior to the artificial “immunity” generated by the so-called “vaccines.”

The paper includes a systematic review and meta-analysis of 65 other studies conducted worldwide. All of them provide overwhelming support for what many scientists and doctors have been saying about natural infection versus synthetic injection.

According to the study’s funding, acquiring and recovering from covid naturally provides strong, lasting protection against severe health outcomes at a level “as high, if not higher” than the temporary-at-best protection conferred by the experimental shots.

“*The Lancet* is finally acknowledging what doctors and scientists have been gaslit for saying for years – that natural immunity provides superior protection to experimental vaccines,” said Robert F. Kennedy Jr., chairman and chief litigation counsel for Children’s Health Defense (CHD).

“Only the tsunami of propaganda and censorship from the pharma / government biosecurity cartel and the controlled media persuaded the public that Pfizer and Moderna were better at protecting the human immune system than God and evolution.”

CDC now admits covid jab “immunity” barely lasts at all before turning negative

Even when compared to the immunity allegedly provided by two doses of mRNA (messenger RNA) from either Pfizer-BioNTech or Moderna, natural immunity from infection lasts much longer and provides much better protection, the study found.

Natural immunity, the researchers found, was at least 88.9 percent effective against severe disease, hospitalization, and death for all covid “variants” 10 months after infection. It also provides 78.6 percent protection against reinfection for all variants except omicron BA.1, for which only 45.3 percent protection occurs.

6-2

Back in October, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) met to discuss data on so-called "vaccine-acquired immunity," which the authorities have been touting all this time as preferable to natural immunity.

What the CDC found and discussed is that after two or even three injections of a covid shot, jab-induced immunity drops to zero after just six months before turning *negative*. Negative efficacy, just to clarify, means one's immune system is *worse off*, and is basically now attacking itself like AIDS.

Even though all protection from reinfection wanes over time to some degree, jab-induced protection is the worst kind of protection in that it is *not protection at all* but rather a temporary bandage that destroys the immune system in the end.

Amazingly, the new study was funded in part by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. Its authors include Dr. Christopher Murray, director of The Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME), which is also funded by billionaire eugenicist Bill Gates.

IHME, by the way, was "largely responsible for the notoriously exaggerated mortality calculations that overestimated COVID deaths by 20-fold at the COVID pandemic's outset," according to Kennedy.

The study comes as some authorities in some areas mull restricting people's access to travel, venues, and even workplaces based on their jab status. If the jabs do not even work, then how can anyone require them as a condition of living (not that *any* form of compulsory medicine is legitimate, no matter how "effective" it supposedly is)?

"While framing this as an acknowledgment that natural immunity confers protection, what it is also doing is providing tacit agreement that government-imposed policies restricting travel are acceptable," commented Dr. Meryl Nash, an internist and epidemiologist, about the findings.

"It furthermore provides tacit approval of vaccine passports."

More of the latest news about covid injections can be found at [Immunization.news](https://immunization.news).

7-1

Natural Immunity Better Than COVID Vaccination Against Omicron: CDC Study Admits

FEBRUARY 24, 2023 Zachary Stieber via The Epoch Times.

Post-infection immunity is superior to protection from COVID-19 vaccines, according to a new study from U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) researchers.

Natural immunity, or postinfection immunity, provided 76 percent protection against COVID-19-associated hospitalizations while Omicron was the dominant virus strain in the country, the researchers found. A primary series of the Moderna or Pfizer vaccine, in people without a prior infection, provided just 39 percent protection.

Natural immunity also lasted longer at higher levels than both primary series of vaccination and vaccination with a messenger RNA booster on top of a primary series, according to the study. During Omicron predominance, natural immunity against hospitalization was 74 percent 150 or more days after infection. A primary series without prior infection remained just 39 percent protective beyond 149 days, while three doses started at 81 percent protection but waned to just 31 percent after 150 or more days following the third dose.

The paper was published ahead of peer review by The Journal of Infectious Diseases. It was funded by the CDC.

"Protection from COVID-19 mRNA vaccination and/or prior SARS-CoV-2 infection against COVID-19-associated hospitalizations ... regardless of variant [was high]," Catherine Bozio of the CDC and the other researchers said. SARS-CoV-2 causes COVID-19.

The agency, which recommends a primary series and a bivalent booster for virtually all Americans 6 months of age and older, regardless of prior infection, did not respond to an email asking if the study's findings would lead to a recommendation change.

Robert Moffit, a senior research fellow at the Heritage Foundation's Center for Health and Welfare Policy, told The Epoch Times that **the study's acknowledgment of natural immunity "is a very significant development."**

"Natural immunity has very direct relevance for federal policy, and really, the vaccine policy, particularly the enforcement of mandates on individuals, not only in the government but also the private sector," he said.

Researchers examined data from the CDC-run VISION Vaccine Effectiveness Network to examine patients who went to emergency room departments, urgent care facilities, or hospitals. **The study period started on August 26, 2021, while Delta was still dominant, and went through June 13, 2022.**

People were counted as unvaccinated if they had no record of vaccination. People who received Johnson & Johnson's vaccine, people who only received one vaccine dose, and people who received two vaccine doses but 14 days had not elapsed before testing, were excluded. People were counted as having a prior infection if they tested positive 90 days or more before the index test date, defined as "the date of specimen collection associated with the most recent positive SARS-CoV-2 test result within the 14 days prior to" a COVID-19-associated health care visit, or the date of the visit itself if testing occurred later.

7-2

New Study Confirms Previous Research

The study comes about a year after a CDC study found natural immunity was superior to vaccination while Delta was the dominant strain.

Omicron displaced Delta in late 2021.

Other studies have also determined that vaccine-bestowed protection was not as good against Delta or Omicron as that found in people who recovered from COVID-19.

Researchers in Qatar, for instance, reported in late 2022 that natural immunity protected better against infection and severe or critical COVID-19 from Omicron than a Moderna or Pfizer primary series.

An Israeli study found as early as August 2021 that prior infection was superior to vaccination during the Delta era.

For the new paper, researchers looked at part of that time. And they found that during Delta, natural infection provided 91 percent protection against COVID-19-associated hospitalization, versus 73 percent from a primary series.

While natural immunity grew stronger over time, vaccination-bestowed immunity grew weaker, the researchers found. Against Delta, two doses dropped from 85 percent protection to 69 percent protection after 150 or more days, and three doses provided 66 percent protection beyond 149 days.

So-called hybrid immunity, or vaccination on top of natural immunity, was better than vaccination alone. Some experts support vaccinating people with infection-induced immunity but others have warned against it, noting some research has found the naturally immune are at higher risk of side effects.

Alignment With CDC Guidance

As is typical with the CDC and CDC-funded studies, the researchers included statements in support of vaccination.

"Although infection-induced immunity provides protection, SARS-CoV-2 infection can cause severe disease, death, and long-term morbidity," they wrote.

"COVID-19 vaccination is safe and effective at preventing severe COVID-19 disease, and staying up to date continues to provide protection, regardless of history of prior infection. COVID-19 vaccination also enhances protection in persons who have been previously infected," they added.

The researchers did not mention vaccine side effects or examine vaccine safety in their study.

"One of the more remarkable features of this entire pandemic has been the tendency of the CDC and other federal officials to either ignore scientific evidence relating to natural immunity and COVID-19 or to downplay it. And I think that has been a very serious problem," Moffitt said.

In its investigation of COVID-19 and the vaccines, Congress should examine, Moffitt said, the pursuit of vaccine mandates and the consistent downplaying or ignorance of natural immunity.

8-1

"Concerning": Myocarditis Diagnoses Spiked In Military in 2021, New Data Show

MAR 22, 2023 *Zachary Stieber via The Epoch Times*

The rate of myocarditis spiked in the military in 2021, newly disclosed data show.

Diagnoses of myocarditis, a form of heart inflammation, jumped 130.5 percent in 2021 when compared to the average from the years 2016 to 2020, according to data from the Defense Medical Epidemiology Database (DMED).

The data was downloaded by a whistleblower and presented to Sen. Ron Johnson (R-Wis.).

Myocarditis is a serious condition that can lead to death.

All four of the COVID-19 vaccines authorized in the United States can cause myocarditis, according to U.S. officials. They added a warning for Johnson & Johnson's shot this month.

COVID-19 can also cause myocarditis, though some experts say the data on that front is weaker.

The whistleblower downloaded the data from DMED in 2023, about a year after the Pentagon said it fixed a data corruption issue with the military health system.

The data also showed spikes in diagnoses of pulmonary embolism (41.2 percent), ovarian dysfunction (38.2 percent), and "complications and ill-defined descriptions of heart disease" (37.7 percent).

Johnson called the spike in diagnoses "concerning."

The Pentagon and the Defense Health Agency, which manages the DMED, did not respond to requests for comment.

[ZH: Take a few minutes to watch Senator Rand Paul asking the Moderna CEO questions about myocarditis today...]

Difference In Percentages

The newly disclosed data also showed higher increases than the Pentagon previously reported.

The military, for instance, had claimed that the rate of pulmonary embolism had increased just 25.4 percent in 2021.

Both rates were much lower than 468 percent.

That was among the shocking spikes in disease diagnoses identified by whistleblowers in 2022.

After the spikes were made public, military officials claimed the increases were not correct because some diagnoses in the years 2016 to 2020 had not been counted.

The undercounts stemmed from "corrupt" data, the military told Sen. Ron Johnson (R-Wis.) in a 2022 letter. The problem was fixed in early 2022, officials said. They gave Johnson a list of percentage increases of 15 diagnoses on Feb. 15, 2022.

Read more [here...](#)

9-1

Novavax COVID-19 Vaccine Associated With Heart Inflammation: Study

FEB 19, 2023 *Zachary Stieber via The Epoch Times*

A higher than expected number of heart inflammation cases have occurred in people who received Novavax's COVID-19 vaccine, researchers reported in a new study.

Sixty-one cases of myocarditis, pericarditis, or both following a Novavax vaccination were reported in the World Health Organization's vaccine safety database through Aug. 23, 2022, Spanish researchers found.

Using pre-pandemic rates of heart inflammation in the population, the researchers calculated that the number of post-vaccination cases was higher than expected.

Reporting odds ratio values of higher than one indicate a higher-than-expected rate. **For myocarditis following Novavax vaccination, the ratio was 5.2. For pericarditis, it was 24.75. For myopericarditis, or both conditions at once, it was 14.4.**

Heart inflammation is a known side effect of the Pfizer and Moderna COVID-19 vaccines, which utilize messenger RNA (mRNA) technology, but little data has been collected on the condition following Novavax vaccination, which does not contain mRNA.

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration, when it authorized Novavax's shot during the summer of 2022, noted in fact sheets that clinical trials indicate there are increased risks of myocarditis and pericarditis after receipt of the Novavax vaccine. In the trial data submitted to the regulator, five cases of one or both conditions were reported in the vaccinated and zero were reported in placebo recipients. U.S. authorities have since cleared a Novavax booster, and recently reached a deal to obtain up to 1.5 million additional doses on top of the original tranche.

The European Medicines Agency initially did not warn about inflammation after Novavax vaccination but later added a warning to its product information.

Most cases of myocarditis, pericarditis, or myopericarditis after Novavax vaccination in the real world—50—have been reported in Australia, according to the new study. Two have been reported in the United States and nine have been reported in Europe. Most have been among those aged 18 to 44.

While the exact mechanism for induction of myocarditis has yet to be confirmed, the study's authors pointed out that **all of the Pfizer, Moderna, and Novavax vaccines use nanoparticles to deliver a spike protein into the body.**

Read more [here...](#)

10-1

Cardiac Testing at Washington Event Found 53% Myocarditis Rate

Informed Choice WA February 26, 2023,

Myocarditis detected in 50% of individuals tested at an event in WA State.

Advanced Multifunction Cardiogram Test administered at a public event uncovered alarming rate of heart damage lurking beneath the surface.

It's clear that most community leaders are reluctant to engage in conversation of how the failed pandemic response left so many harmed in its wake."

— Bill Sullivan

WENATCHEE, WA, USA, February 26, 2023 /[EINPresswire.com](https://www.einpresswire.com/)/ -- Nearly 500 people from Washington, Oregon and Idaho gathered at the Wenatchee Convention Center in Washington State on Saturday, January 28 to hear and share stories of how the unprecedented response to the COVID-19 pandemic impacted their lives. Stories included injuries and deaths from COVID shots and hospital protocols; careers upended and families torn apart by mandates; and numerous harms from closures of schools, businesses and churches.

In addition to giving people a forum to express their loss, the event pointed people toward helpful medical, spiritual, and legal resources.

Heart screening was available and conducted using multifunction cardiogram technology, or MCG, provided by HeartCARE Corp of Chattanooga, Tennessee. Approved by the US Food and Drug Administration and in use since 1995, the MCG represents the most advanced non-invasive cardiac diagnostic method available. Eule Glenn, CEO and co-founder of HeartCARE Corp. explained, "we had the opportunity to perform Multifunction Cardiogram™ screens on a variety of participants and patients. I was surprised to find that over half of those tested (16 of 30 people) had positive markers for myocarditis. Two of these were active duty US Military pilots."

The MCG screening results observed in Wenatchee are consistent with national trends that show rates of myocarditis and death by heart attack have recently skyrocketed compared to pre-pandemic years.

NBA legend John Stockton, co-host of Voices for Medical Freedom podcast, headlined the event and was joined by about two dozen speakers, including other national figures in the medical freedom movement and local citizens who signed up to speak. Included were physicians, nurses, a pharmacist, an attorney, faith leaders, parents, spouses, and grandchildren. Each addressed the event to share their stories and perspectives.

Dr. Richard Amerling, Chief Academic Officer of The Wellness Company, a newly formed private healthcare provider, and Past-President of the Association of American Physicians and Surgeons, spoke remotely of the failures of the centralized corporate health system model he witnessed during the pandemic years.

Todd Callender, Attorney at Law, of Disabled Rights Advocates (<https://dradvocates.com>) also speaking remotely, summarized his work helping defeat the military COVID vaccine

10-2

mandates and a program he developed to help private individuals redress their pandemic response harms.

"People came to this event looking for answers, to build community, and to be given a voice because those in government and corporate medicine have refused to listen," said Bill Sullivan, an appointed member of the Chelan-Douglas Board of Health and co-organizer of the Pandemic Response Harms Event, acting in a private capacity. "The honest medical professionals are being silenced by their licensing boards, by media, by the public health apparatus, and by their corporate bosses. If they dare explore any connection between all these strange ailments and the experimental COVID shots, they risk losing their license and their job for purveying what state bureaucrats determine is 'misinformation'."

Sullivan's concern was echoed by several speakers. Repeating a sentiment heard throughout the day, Brian McInnes, a local physician and endurance athlete who was diagnosed with a heart conduction abnormality following COVID vaccination told the crowd, "There are many people like me, as you are hearing, that have experienced adverse health effects after COVID vaccination. And like me, most all of them have had any association of their health problem with the vaccine dismissed." McInnes went on to say, "My first vaccine dose came from Pfizer Lot Number EK-9231. This lot is ranked number one for all Pfizer batches for adverse reactions, given right here in Wenatchee." Dr. McInnes continued, "My second vaccine dose came from Pfizer Lot EN-5318. This lot is ranked number four of all lots for adverse reactions. These two vaccine batches alone are associated with a reported 252 deaths and many other illnesses and disabilities. And that's just the VAERS data which is . . . quite underreported".

Dr. Amerling spoke at length about how the centralization of modern medicine has interfered with doctors' ability to act independently in the best interest of their patients. "The hospital protocols were killing patients and are killing patients," he said of the ubiquitous use of the experimental drug Remdesivir to treat hospitalized COVID patients, "but doctors in these [hospital] systems are forced to give it."

John Stockton, in providing the Keynote presentation, summarized the feelings of many of the people in attendance who have lost confidence in once-trusted institutions.

"When people are wrong all the time, you learn not to listen to them anymore. They say the shots are vaccines; they are not. They say they are safe and effective; they are not. They said Ivermectin and Hydroxychloroquine were dangerous and ineffective. Also wrong. If your source is wrong all the time – like the CDC, the FDA, the WHO," Stockton said, "you can't trust anything they say. . . sometimes technical fouls in a game are so egregious that they need to be thrown out of the game."

Sullivan hopes this event will inspire similar community-level medical freedom movements organized by regular citizens. "It's clear that most community leaders are reluctant to engage in conversation of how the failed pandemic response left so many harmed in its wake. Well, if they won't talk about it, then we will step up and lead the discussion. We're done with being the silent majority. It's time to boldly stand up for the truth so that we can finally break the spell of fear and madness gripping our communities for the past three years."

The Wenatchee COVID-19 Pandemic-Response Harms Conference was organized by the grassroots Truth and Accountability Project Washington and sponsored by InformedChoiceWA.org (ICWA).

Video of the event is available at <https://rumble.com/v297djc-pandemic-harms-listening-session-wenatchee-wa-28jan23.html>

FDA Reveals Why It Changed End Date of Study of Heart Inflammation After COVID Vaccination

Zachary Stieber, Reporter

Feb 14 2023

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) changed the end date of a study it is requiring Pfizer to conduct because Pfizer requested a delay, the agency says.

“The company requested an extension from FDA for completion, which the agency granted,” an FDA spokesperson told The Epoch Times via email.

When the FDA approved Pfizer’s vaccine in August 2021, it said Pfizer was required to conduct postmarketing studies to evaluate the safety of the vaccine.

One of the studies was meant to assess post-vaccination subclinical myocarditis, or heart inflammation, following a third dose of the vaccine in people aged 16 to 30.

That study was going to be completed on June 30, 2022, with Pfizer submitting a final report on the results to the FDA by Dec. 31, 2022.

After the deadline passed, the FDA quietly changed the end date to June 30, 2023.

The FDA declined to say whether it knows why Pfizer wanted an extension and, if so, what the rationale was.

“You may request any communications between FDA and the company by submitting a Freedom of Information Act request. You may also wish to contact the company directly,” the agency spokesperson said.

Pfizer has not responded to inquiries.

The Epoch Times has submitted Freedom of Information Act requests for emails and other materials relating to the change.

Jessica Adams, a former FDA officer who has been critical of how the issue has been handled, first disclosed that the FDA delayed the end date at Pfizer’s request. Adams on Twitter described the situation as disturbing. “I can’t think of any possible justification for this,” she wrote.

‘Public Deserves to Know’

Kim Wiczak, a drug safety advocate who helped uncover that antidepressants can increase the risk of suicide, said that the reason for delaying the crucial Pfizer study needs to be released.

“The public deserves to know why Pfizer was NOT able to meet their post-marketing deadline. The completion dates for post-marketing studies were established in good faith at

12-1

Circulating Spike Protein Detected in Post–COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine Myocarditis

Lael M. Yonker, Zoe Swank, Yannic C. Bartsch, Madeleine D. Burns, Abigail Kane, Brittany P. Boribong, Jameson P. Davis, Maggie Loiselle, Tanya Novak, Yasmeen Senussi, Chi-An Cheng,

Originally published January 4, 2023 in Circulation.

Abstract

Background:

Cases of adolescents and young adults developing myocarditis after vaccination with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)–targeted mRNA vaccines have been reported globally, but the underlying immunoprofiles of these individuals have not been described in detail.

Methods:

From January 2021 through February 2022, we prospectively collected blood from 16 patients who were hospitalized at Massachusetts General for Children or Boston Children’s Hospital for myocarditis, presenting with chest pain with elevated cardiac troponin T after SARS-CoV-2 vaccination. We performed extensive antibody profiling, including tests for SARS-CoV-2–specific humoral responses and assessment for autoantibodies or antibodies against the human-relevant virome, SARS-CoV-2–specific T-cell analysis, and cytokine and SARS-CoV-2 antigen profiling. Results were compared with those from 45 healthy, asymptomatic, age-matched vaccinated control subjects.

Results:

Extensive antibody profiling and T-cell responses in the individuals who developed postvaccine myocarditis were essentially indistinguishable from those of vaccinated control subjects, despite a modest increase in cytokine production. A notable finding was that markedly elevated levels of full-length spike protein (33.9 ± 22.4 pg/mL), unbound by antibodies, were detected in the plasma of individuals with postvaccine myocarditis, whereas no free spike was detected in asymptomatic vaccinated control subjects (unpaired *t* test; $P < 0.0001$).

Conclusions:

Immunoprofiling of vaccinated adolescents and young adults revealed that the mRNA vaccine–induced immune responses did not differ between individuals who developed myocarditis and individuals who did not. However, free spike antigen was detected in the blood of adolescents and young adults who developed post-mRNA vaccine myocarditis, advancing insight into its potential underlying cause.

13 -1

NFL Players' Association Urged To Screen for Heart Issues Over Vaccine Side Effects

FEB 16, 2023

The NFL Players' Association is being urged to offer players cardiac screening in light of the growing concern over COVID-19 vaccines causing heart inflammation.

The Health Freedom Defense Fund urged the association in [a recent letter](#) to implement screening because the vaccines can cause myocarditis, a form of heart inflammation. Young males are the most at risk.

Most NFL players received a COVID-19 vaccine under pressure from teams and the league.

"Safety signals illustrate that the near and long-term health outcomes of the COVID-19 vaccines remain uncertain," Leslie Manookian, president and founder of the fund, told DeMaurice Smith, executive director of the association (NFLPA), in the missive.

"A multitude of adverse reactions to these injections, including myocarditis, are wide ranging and confirmed, and as such, prudence dictates that the NFLPA investigate the extent to which the COVID-19 shots may have resulted in injury, compromised health or death of players," Manookian said.

She pointed out that Damar Hamlin, a safety for the Buffalo Bills, suffered a cardiac arrest on the field during a Monday Night Football game in January. The reason for the incident remains unknown; Hamlin declined to convey what his doctors told him during a recent televised interview. Former NFL players have also suffered heart attacks and strokes following vaccination.

The NFLPA should introduce "a testing and screening program to determine whether players have been adversely affected by the injections and to develop a set of functional medical protocols and treatments in order to address and heal any deleterious effects of the vaccines," Manookian said.

The NFLPA declined to comment to The Epoch Times.

The association has not responded to the letter, which was sent via email and regular mail, Manookian told The Epoch Times.

Former NFL player Ken Reuttgers, who started the Voices for Medical Freedom podcast, previously warned an associate who works for the NFLPA of post-vaccination cardiac events and offered to connect the group with doctors with knowledge of the issues.

The associate thanked Reuttgers but did not accept the offer, Reuttgers told The Epoch Times.

"The challenge is, it's almost like a fighter pilot that, 'I don't want to be tested because if I come up positive, I don't want to be grounded,'" Reuttgers said.

Read more [here...](#)

14-1

Mainstream Media Continues To Push False 'COVID Heart' Narrative To Explain Excess Deaths

FEB 17, 2023 ZEROHEDGE

CBS joins the chorus of mainstream media outlets promoting the false narrative that covid is the cause of a sharp increase in excess heart failure deaths around the world. The concept of "covid heart" has been thoroughly debunked by multiple studies, yet the lie continues to persist because of media disinformation.

An early report that set in motion fears of a Covid-heart disease connection was published in JAMA Cardiology on July 27, 2020. German researchers claimed that 78% of recently recovered Covid-19 patients had "abnormal" signs on their cardiac magnetic resonance scans and 60% showed signs of inflamed heart muscle, a condition known as myocarditis. Those astonishing numbers were covered in nearly 400 news outlets. The report has so far been viewed more than 900,000 times — a rarity for academic papers.

Soon after its publication, however, the paper was criticized for statistical and methodologic errors. It eventually underwent a long but much quieter correction that indicated that many of the abnormalities were only marginally more common among those recovering from Covid-19 than among similar control individuals who had not had Covid-19.

The assertion of the existence of covid heart serves a useful purpose, however, as it conveniently helps to distract from the very real threat of myocarditis caused by mRNA vaccines. Studies show a direct connection between covid vaccination, boosters, and risk of heart failure, specifically in younger people. The corporate media continues to ignore these studies in favor of the covid heart claim.

The CBS report presents a correlation as proof of causation: The explosion in heart failure happened in parallel with the pandemic, therefore, they say it "must be covid" that is causing the damage. But there was one other event that also happened in parallel with the heart failure spike - The introduction of experimental mRNA vaccines which have never been used before.

In reality, there is no evidence of a significant increase in risk of heart problems from contraction of covid, and there are no studies yet that use unvaccinated people as a control group to determine if vaccines help or hurt a patient's chances. Medical officials simply assume that the deaths of younger people are due to them being "less likely" to have been vaccinated. The complete absence of objective scientific analysis has contributed to a lack of understanding surrounding covid risks versus vaccine risks. Mainstream outlets have consistently proven they are only interested in repeating establishment positions and protecting the status quo.

Why don't medical authorities use unvaccinated people as a control group for their observations? Why do they continue to promote assumptions rather than definitive evidence? One can only theorize, but this behavior suggests a desire to hide certain findings and mislead the public rather than uncover the facts.

15-1

COVID-19 Not Responsible for “Explosion” in Heart Deaths, Major Autopsy Study Shows

Daily Sceptic March 30, 2023

“Must Be the Vaccine,” Says Top Heart Doctor

Since the early days of the pandemic there has been a concern that SARS-CoV-2 infection in humans could cause heart inflammation and thus cardiac injury and death.

A number of **observational studies** have appeared to show increased heart problems following infection, though the observational nature of these studies has led to criticism they are confounded and unreliable. **Other studies have not found an increase in heart problems following Covid infection and have established that myocarditis was not above baseline in 2020.**

Now the lack of relationship between COVID-19 and heart inflammation has been confirmed in a **systematic review** of 50 autopsy studies covering 548 hearts of patients who died of or with COVID-19. While around two thirds of the hearts had SARS-CoV-2 found in the tissue, none had extensive myocarditis as the cause of death. Top heart doctor Peter McCullough **comments** on the study – which was published last year – that it “should be the nail in the coffin in ruling out COVID-19 illness as a cause of fatal myocarditis”.

“Despite the virus being found in heart tissue, it was not causing significant inflammation,” he said.

This means that the “explosion of fatal myocarditis” as inferred from the reports and autopsies of unexplained cardiac arrest, “must have another explanation than SARS-CoV-2 infection,” he added.

“The only new proven cause of heart damage in human populations is COVID-19 vaccination. Vaccines used in America (Pfizer, Moderna, Janssen, Novavax) have been demonstrated to cause myocarditis as published in the peer-reviewed literature.”

Autopsies of vaccinated patients have found clear evidence of strong expression of spike protein in heart muscle that, **according to Dr. Michael Palmer and Dr. Sucharit Bhakdi**, “correlates with significant inflammation and tissue destruction”.

16-1

DEATH BY mRNA: German Study Documents Heart Damage, Death of Parkinson's Patient Caused by Covid Jab

S.D. Wells. March 1, 2023

New, mind-blowing research about the Covid so-called "vaccinations" has been published recently in medical journals. Sadly, mRNA "technology," not Covid, is destroying people's hearts and brains, and it's being documented in medical journals for all the "pro-science" pro-vaxxers to ingest.

Recently, a patient died a few weeks after getting his third mRNA stab. Right after the toxic spike protein injections, his Parkinson's symptoms got exponentially worse quickly, and it was all down hill from there. The pathology report confirms his clinical history with NO documentation of ever having the Fauci Flu, a.k.a. Covid-19, per the autopsy. This is a case of vaccine-induced death that Big Pharma, Big Media, and the Vaccine Industrial Complex do NOT want anyone reading about, discussing, or re-publishing in the news.

"We can say definitively that this damage was caused by vaccine," says John Campbell, Ph.D. and health educator with 2.68 million YouTube subscribers

Researchers ran an autopsy on a 76-year-old man with Parkinson's disease who died just weeks after receiving his 3rd mRNA Covid jab. Researchers processed tissues in the man's body with formalin, and stained them with eosin and hemotoxylin for examination. The first Covid jab the patient got was the AstraZeneca injection, then he got two Pfizer jabs the same year, in 2021. After the second jab, his family noticed major changes in his behavior, as he became much more lethargic, anxious, and did not want to be touched. Then he became very withdrawn, so they gave him a third jab, and then he died. The autopsy revealed inflammation in the heart and brain. He suffered myocarditis that was not caused by natural infection, but by the spike proteins. He also suffered *acute brain damage* completely unrelated to his Parkinson's diagnosis, according to three kinds of pathological findings, including neuronal death. Guess what they found in the frontal lobe of his brain? Spike proteins.

Spike proteins in the brain and vascular system of Covid jabbed victims now showing up in autopsies as main cause of strokes and heart attacks

Scientists and doctors who still deny that Covid jabs are causing deaths need to take a close look at these findings, as detailed photographs reveal the patient's spike-protein-contaminated and compromised tissue. The vaccines caused the brain damage. Period. Look at the case report. Follow the science.

The patient also suffered generalized swelling of the heart. Then the vaccine-induced brain damage caused him to have seizures, which in turn caused him to go unconscious, and he ended up breathing in his own vomit and finally dying from that, according to the pathologists. Here's how it went down in the pathologist's own words:

"The vaccine circulating around the body will come into contact with the blood vessels. So the liponanoparticles containing the mRNA will go into the blood vessels. And it's the blood vessels' cells

16-2

themselves that will express the spike protein." In other words, the spike protein caused a massive inflammatory response in the different parts of the brain, causing death in those sections.

British and American medical scientists refuse to conduct autopsies to search for spike proteins like this. The medical industries are obviously suppressing this research to protect the vaccine industry in general and what many are referring to as a "Vaccine Holocaust" with these mRNA injections.

Dr. Robert Lowry, a Texas-based neurologist, is on the record saying that autopsies should be conducted on every young person who dies suddenly and unexpectedly, and here's what he expressed about the spike protein jabs: **"They don't prevent disease, and the immediate and long-term risk of serious injury from them is greater than that of having the actual disease. Natural immunity to corona viruses is far better and longer lasting than anything these vaccines provide."**

Bookmark [Vaccines.news](#) to your favorite independent websites for updates on experimental gene therapy injections the CDC and fake news claim are "safe and effective" when they're really dangerous and health-damaging.

New, mind-blowing research about the Covid so-called "vaccinations" has been published recently in medical journals. Sadly, mRNA "technology," not Covid, is destroying people's hearts and brains, and it's being documented in medical journals for all the "pro-science" pro-vaxxers to ingest.

Recently, a patient died a few weeks after getting his third mRNA stab. Right after the toxic spike protein injections, his Parkinson's symptoms got exponentially worse quickly, and it was all down hill from there. The pathology report confirms his clinical history with NO documentation of ever having the Fauci Flu, a.k.a. Covid-19, per the autopsy. This is a case of vaccine-induced death that Big Pharma, Big Media, and the Vaccine Industrial Complex do NOT want anyone reading about, discussing, or re-publishing in the news.

"We can say definitively that this damage was caused by vaccine," says John Campbell, Ph.D. and health educator with 2.68 million YouTube subscribers

Researchers ran an autopsy on a 76-year-old man with Parkinson's disease who died just weeks after receiving his 3rd mRNA Covid jab. Researchers processed tissues in the man's body with formalin, and stained them with eosin and hemotoxylin for examination. The first Covid jab the patient got was the AstraZeneca injection, then he got two Pfizer jabs the same year, in 2021. After the second jab, his family noticed major changes in his behavior, as he became much more lethargic, anxious, and did not want to be touched. Then he became very withdrawn, so they gave him a third jab, and then he died.

The autopsy revealed inflammation in the heart and brain. He suffered myocarditis that was not caused by natural infection, but by the spike proteins. He also suffered *acute brain damage* completely unrelated to his Parkinson's diagnosis, according to three kinds of pathological findings, including neuronal death. Guess what they found in the frontal lobe of his brain? Spike proteins.

Spike proteins in the brain and vascular system of Covid jabbed victims now showing up in autopsies as main cause of strokes and heart attacks

16-3

Scientists and doctors who still deny that Covid jabs are causing deaths need to take a close look at these findings, as detailed photographs reveal the patient's spike-protein-contaminated and compromised tissue. The vaccines caused the brain damage. Period. Look at the case report. Follow the science.

The patient also suffered generalized swelling of the heart. Then the vaccine-induced brain damage caused him to have seizures, which in turn caused him to go unconscious, and he ended up breathing in his own vomit and finally dying from that, according to the pathologists. Here's how it went down in the pathologist's own words:

"The vaccine circulating around the body will come into contact with the blood vessels. So the liponanoparticles containing the mRNA will go into the blood vessels. And it's the blood vessels' cells themselves that will express the spike protein." In other words, the spike protein caused a massive inflammatory response in the different parts of the brain, causing death in those sections.

British and American medical scientists refuse to conduct autopsies to search for spike proteins like this. The medical industries are obviously suppressing this research to protect the vaccine industry in general and what many are referring to as a "Vaccine Holocaust" with these mRNA injections.

Dr. Robert Lowry, a Texas-based neurologist, is on the record saying that autopsies should be conducted on every young person who dies suddenly and unexpectedly, and here's what he expressed about the spike protein jabs: "They don't prevent disease, and the immediate and long-term risk of serious injury from them is greater than that of having the actual disease. Natural immunity to corona viruses is far better and longer lasting than anything these vaccines provide."

Bookmark [Vaccines.news](#) to your favorite independent websites for updates on experimental gene therapy injections the CDC and fake news claim are "safe and effective" when they're really dangerous and health-damaging.